1 (Case called)

2.3

2 MS. TARLOW: Good morning, your Honor.

Elinor Tarlow for the government. I am joined at counsel table by Assistant United States Attorney Daniel Nessim, Rebekah Donaleski; a paralegal in the United States Attorney's Office, Madison Dunbar; and Inspector Nicholas Ricigliano with the United States Marshal Service.

MS. KELLMAN: Good morning, your Honor.

THE COURT: Good morning, everybody.

MS. KELLMAN: Good morning, your Honor. Susan Kellman for Mr. Diaz. I'm joined at counsel table by Carlos Santiago, who's present, your Honor, as an attorney and a member of the mentoring program. Mr. Diaz present in court, your Honor, and we're ready to proceed.

THE COURT: Good morning, everyone. Please be seated. Let me just get signed on.

So over the weekend I received a motion from Mr. Diaz.

Ms. Kellman, do you have anything to say? Has your client

decided that he wants to represent himself again?

MS. KELLMAN: I don't believe that he does, even though he's fairly certain that I don't understand the issues in the case, nor does my colleague who is probably smarter at this than I am, but I would ask the Court to docket the motion.

THE COURT: I think it has been docketed. Has it been docketed?

1 | THE LAW CLERK: Not yet.

2 | THE COURT: It will be.

2.3

Mr. Diaz, let me explain something to you.

Mr. Diaz, a counseled defendant cannot make his own motions. At the final pretrial conference you told me you did not want to represent yourself, you did not want to be represented by Mr. DeMarco, so I made efforts to find a new attorney. I got Ms. Kellman.

Now the question is: How do you want to proceed? You have a constitutional right to be represented by counsel, you have a constitutional right to represent yourself, but what you do not have a constitutional right to do is to be wishy-washy, you can't go back and forth between representing yourself and being represented by counsel.

So what do you want to do?

MR. DIAZ: I understand everything you say. And I actually I'm embarrassed that I've been wishy-washy because I have been. I think the circumstances have me say that I've been that way, otherwise I would lose some rights that I'm allowed under the Constitution because of the representation that I've been getting.

I expressed this items on the motion to my attorneys several days ago, the very first day we met, and I explained to them my view and they explained to me their view and they disagreed what I said, they misinterpreted and they said I

2.3

misinterpreted everything. I told them go ahead and submit it anyway, make an entry. Say you disagree with it. I told them that submit my motion anyway, to disassociate -- make a note informing the Court they did not agree with the motion. This is something at my urgence. So they still did not submit it.

I felt the motion is valid. The response they were giving me why it was not valid -

THE COURT: Mr. Diaz, here's the thing. I'm asking you what time it is and you're telling me how to build a clock. The question is, do you want to represent yourself or do you want to be represented by counsel? Ms. Kellman knows her way around a courtroom. She's been trying cases for 30 years. She makes tactical decisions and strategic decisions, that's what happens when you have a lawyer. It doesn't mean you have to agree with all their decisions. At the end of the day, you need to be consulted on them and you need to talk about them.

Now, do you want to represent yourself?

MR. DIAZ: Yes.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Diaz, we've gone through this before, but I'm going to go through it again.

Are you under the care of a doctor or a psychiatrist at the moment?

MR. DIAZ: Doctor, yes.

THE COURT: For what?

MR. DIAZ: Mostly irregular heartbeat.

	3
1	THE COURT: Are you on medication?
2	MR. DIAZ: Yes.
3	THE COURT: Does that affect your ability to perceive
4	what's going on around you?
5	MR. DIAZ: No.
6	THE COURT: Have you ever received medical care or
7	psychiatric care for a mental illness?
8	MR. DIAZ: No.
9	THE COURT: In the past 24 hours, other than your
10	heart medicine, have you taken any drugs, medicines or pills,
11	or have you consumed any alcohol?
12	MR. DIAZ: I have taken some other medicine for that,
13	it's prescribed but it's for minor stuff. One is for high
14	cholesterol and the other one is for vitamin deficiency.
15	THE COURT: OK. Is your mind clear today?
16	MR. DIAZ: Yes.
17	THE COURT: Do you understand what we're about to go
18	through, we're about to go through whether you understand what
19	you're doing?
20	MR. DIAZ: Yes.
21	THE COURT: And you're making the decision to proceed
22	and represent yourself rather than to be represented by
23	counsel?
24	MR. DIAZ: I understand.
25	THE COURT: I find that Mr. Diaz is fully competent to

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

THE COURT: You had an attorney, right?

MR. DIAZ: No.

24

25

Do you understand that?

MR. DIAZ: Yes.

22

2.3

24

25

THE COURT: An attorney, by experience and by education is generally better able to follow and apply the

rules than you're going to be.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

Do you understand that?

MR. DIAZ: I agree with it.

THE COURT: Because of unfamiliarity with the rules, you may end up forfeiting arguments that might otherwise have been helpful to you because you don't raise them in accordance with the rules and therefore they're precluded. Do you understand that?

MR. DIAZ: I understand.

THE COURT: For example, the motion that you made over the weekend was waived because you failed to make it in time when you were representing yourself. So I set a deadline for motions, that deadline was in December, you did not make this motion, so this motion is out of time. So even if you're right on the law, you've forfeited the right to make the motion. Do you understand that?

MR. DIAZ: I understand what you're saying.

THE COURT: Do you understand that you could have similar situations during the course of the trial?

MR. DIAZ: Yes.

THE COURT: You're aware that notwithstanding the fact that you're now deciding to go back to representing yourself, we are starting trial today?

MR. DIAZ: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand that the indictment

THE COURT: I can assure you that that will include if you raise the issues that I have precluded you from raising, including the underlying fairness or validity of your court marshal conviction.

Do you understand that?

22

2.3

24

25

government's evidence and to cross-examine its witnesses.

Do you understand that?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

MR. DIAZ: I'm sorry, repeat that, please.

THE COURT: You have the right to object to the government's evidence and you have the right to cross-examine the witnesses that the government calls.

be subject to cross-examination by the government just like any other witness.

24

25

1 Do you understand that?

2 MR. DIAZ: Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

THE COURT: If you decide that you don't want to testify as a witness, the right that you have as a criminal defendant to remain silent may be undermined if you represent yourself because the jury may draw impressions about you because of how you chose to conduct the trial.

Do you understand that?

MR. DIAZ: I understand that.

THE COURT: Proceeding pro se may undermine your ability to establish a defense. Do you understand that?

MR. DIAZ: Yes.

THE COURT: So, for example, conceivably you could do things representing yourself that might make it harmless error that I precluded you from putting in evidence of the underlying fairness of your court marshal.

Do you understand that?

MR. DIAZ: Yes.

THE COURT: Let me make sure you understand that,

Mr. Diaz, because that's critical. As I understand it, the

reason you are going to trial is to preserve your right to

appeal the decision I made that precluded you from putting in

evidence that would collaterally attack or that would undermine

the validity of your underlying court marshal, correct?

MR. DIAZ: That was my initial -- point of view.

Since then I had some other issues that I think I can present as defense, like I said, in those motions.

THE COURT: That's great. So that's further indication. So you now have some theories of a defense?

MR. DIAZ: Yes, I do.

2.3

THE COURT: It is conceivable that if, depending on what happens during this trial, that you could undermine your right to appeal wrongful decisions that I make because the Court of Appeals would say, Yes, Judge Caproni was wrong about that, but it doesn't matter, because there was so much evidence of guilt here that her error was harmless?

Do you understand that?

MR. DIAZ: I do understand that.

THE COURT: If Ms. Kellman is representing you, she has training and education, she has the ability and knowledge of how to make a record so that she best puts your case in the best footing possible if, and if and when you lose this case and you're convicted so that there is the ability to appeal rulings that I made that she believes and you believe are erroneous.

Do you understand that?

MR. DIAZ: I do.

THE COURT: Again, as an example, one of the issues that we're going to talk about this morning is that the government wants to put in the notification that was provided

to you while you were still in custody in the Brigg Leavenworth to prove that you have knowledge of your obligation to register and your obligation to keep your registration up to date.

One issue that is raised by that is the fact that that document makes it clear that you were in custody at the time, that you were in prison. They're arguing to me that it should come in any way, that the prejudicial impact of that is minimal. You may want to argue the alternative. Based on the letter from the government, I understand that the defense is objecting to that document coming in. If Ms. Kellman is representing you, she's going to articulate the best argument possible for why I should not allow the document in. If she's not representing you, you're on your own to make those arguments.

Do you understand that?

MR. DIAZ: I do.

2.3

THE COURT: They have to be cogent and they have to be focused on that. I'm not going to allow you to take every argument down a rathole that has nothing to do with the issue at hands.

Do you understand that?

MR. DIAZ: I understand.

THE COURT: You're going to have to cross-examine the government's witnesses.

Do you understand that?

1 MR. DIAZ: Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

THE COURT: Attorneys know how to ask questions on cross-examination to avoid drawing out information that will hurt you, and they're in a position to make judgments about when it's better not to ask a question because the risk that the answer is going to be detrimental is too high.

Do you understand that?

MR. DIAZ: I understand.

THE COURT: If you ask questions of government witnesses, you run the risk that the jury may assume you know and did certain things because of the types of questions you're asking.

Do you understand that?

MR. DIAZ: I understand.

THE COURT: If you ask questions of the government's witness, your lack of experience may result in you asking questions that bring out testimony that would be harmful to you and that would not have been brought out by a more adept questioner.

Do you understand that?

MR. DIAZ: I understand.

THE COURT: If you're convicted at trial, you have the right to appeal that conviction.

Do you understand that?

MR. DIAZ: Yes.

THE COURT: It is a frequent argument on appeal that the defendant argues on appeal that the attorney did not represent them well, that is, they had ineffective assistance at trial.

Do you understand that?

MR. DIAZ: Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

THE COURT: It's not uncommon for those appeals to be granted. It's not done a lot, but it does happen from time to time.

Do you understand that?

MR. DIAZ: Yes.

THE COURT: If you represent yourself, you are forfeiting that argument, even if you are entirely incompetent in your defense. You cannot appeal on the grounds that you were incompetent.

Do you understand that?

MR. DIAZ: I understand that, but the one part I don't understand and I may -- I don't know if the Court can answer this is, does that apply to the guidance that the counseling that I have received up to this point, while I had counsel?

THE COURT: I don't understand the question.

MR. DIAZ: If I consider that they have been inefficient during the past three days, can I bring that up in appeal?

THE COURT: Probably not. Because the issue is going

to be whether you received competent representation at trial.

I don't want to say never, maybe you could come up with some

argument on appeal that would demonstrate that something done

or not done during the three days that Ms. Kellman represented

you that was so terribly incompetent that it went to the

fundamental fairness of your trial. I can't imagine what that

would be but conceivably.

MR. DIAZ: That's exactly my point. As I expressed to the Court before, I understand everything you have said. I'm not a lawyer. I'm at a disadvantage here, and a lot of reasons, when it comes to the technicality of conduct a trial.

THE COURT: Yes, you are.

MR. DIAZ: I am. I totally understand that, but I'm here because I am not getting the representation that I think I deserve now or my lawyers up to now have failed in some things that I consider essential, I am being forced to represent myself.

THE COURT: Let me make one thing perfectly clear,

Mr. Diaz, you are not being forced to represent yourself.

Quite the contrary. You have competent counsel sitting there.

It is going to be your choice, yes or no, to proceed with

competent counsel or to represent yourself.

MR. DIAZ: I understand what you're saying. When I say "forced," I mean it's the lesser of two evils.

THE COURT: I'm trying to explain to you, Mr. Diaz,

say I've made a terrible mistake, I want Ms. Kellman to represent me.

Do you understand that?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

MR. DIAZ: I understand that.

THE COURT: This decision is final until there is a

1 | verdict.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

2 MR. DIAZ: It's my decision.

THE COURT: It's your decision, and you're not changing it again.

MR. DIAZ: No, I'm not.

THE COURT: That's what I'm stressing. Thank you. Please change positions.

Please be seated, Mr. Diaz.

Mr. Diaz has moved to dismiss the indictment for lack of venue and for failure to state an offense. These motions are denied. First, Mr. Diaz's motions are untimely. At the conference on November 20, 2018, I asked Mr. Diaz whether he had any pretrial motions relating to the recently superseded indictment. Mr. Diaz said no. That's in the transcript at page 20 of that conference. I then adjourned trial for three months to give Mr. Diaz an opportunity to further consider the issue. I entered an order directing Mr. Diaz to file any pretrial motions by December 21. That's at Docket 105, paragraph 6. Mr. Diaz filed no motions until February 23, two days before trial. Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 12(c) requires a party to file motions to dismiss by the deadline set by the Court, or else those motions are waived (unless the defendant shows good cause) see United States v. Crowley, 236 F.3d, 104 at page 110 (2d Cir. 2000); also, *United States* v. Novak, 443 F.3d, 150 at 161 (2d Cir. 2006). I find no good

2.3

makes were discussed expressly at the November 20 conference.

At that conference, I explained venue to Mr. Diaz and told him that failure to challenge venue would result in waiver. That's in the transcript at pages 10 through 13. I also asked the government at that time to provide authority for prosecuting a federal sex offender under the interstate commerce theory of the offense. The government cited a case and Mr. Diaz raised no objection. Mr. Diaz has no excuse for waiting until the eve of trial to raise these objections.

Additionally, even if these arguments have not been waived, they are meritless. Section 2250 phrases the "federal conviction" And "interstate commerce" theories of the offense in terms of "or" Indicating that the government may proceed under either one. That makes sense: If an unregistered sex offender travels in interstate commerce, the government has a federal interest in prosecuting him, regardless of whether he is a federal or state sex offender. I'm unaware of a case that directly addresses this issue, but in *United States v. Van Buren*, a federal sex offenders was prosecuted under the interstate travel theory; the Second Circuit affirmed the conviction albeit on other grounds, *Van Buren* is 599 F.3d at 170 (2d Cir. 2010).

As to venue, the Second Circuit square held in Holcombe that venue for register in any district in which the

- defendant begins or ends his interstate travel. Holcombe is 843 F.3d 12 (2d Cir. 2018). Again, this case was brought to Mr. Diaz's attention months ago in the government's letter dated November 19, 2018, which appears at docket 99. Mr. Diaz offers no reason that it should not apply here.
 - For those of these reasons, Mr. Diaz's motions to dismiss are denied.

The next step.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

MR. DIAZ: May I answer that?

THE COURT: Hang on. Holcombe, for the record, is 883 F.3d at page 12.

What did you say, Mr. Diaz?

MR. DIAZ: May I argue on what you just mentioned?

THE COURT: No. You submitted your motion and I've ruled on that. We're not going to argue it.

The next step though is the government's request to introduce Government Exhibit's 2.

Mr. Diaz, would you like to be heard on whether Government Exhibit 2 is admissible?

MR. DIAZ: I believe --

THE COURT: You need to stand up when you're talking to me.

MS. KELLMAN: Your Honor, just so we can establish a procedure, is it possible for me to consult with Mr. Diaz prior to him responding to the Court?

THE COURT: Absolutely.

Mr. Diaz, would you lik

Mr. Diaz, would you like to be heard on the request to introduce -- it's Government Exhibit Government's Exhibit 2, correct?

MS. TARLOW: Yes, your Honor.

MR. DIAZ: No, I don't have any objection in the redacted form as introduced?

THE COURT: Are you proposing to introduce it in a redacted form? They're only redacting, as I recall, your personal information like social security number and stuff like that?

MS. TARLOW: And the description of the offense, your Honor.

THE COURT: And the description of the offense, correct. So you have no objection?

MR. DIAZ: No objection if the description of the offense is redacted.

THE COURT: Mr. Diaz, the question is, do you have any objection to the introduction of the document the way the government has shown you they intend to introduce it?

MR. DIAZ: No objection, your Honor.

THE COURT: OK. The government can introduce Government Exhibit 2 redacted as you have shown the defense.

Any other matters that need to be discussed before we get the jury up here?

2.3

MS. TARLOW: Yes, your Honor. One matter.

With respect to the Court's preliminary instructions to the jury, after we rereviewed the preliminary instructions in light of the Court's final charge, we would ask that the third element of the offense be described consistent with how the statute is phrased in the indictment, namely, that the defendant failed to register as a sex offender or update his registration, and therefore, the references to keep "current" or the word "current" be removed.

THE COURT: So it would say, "Third, the government must prove that Mr. Diaz knowingly failed to register as a sex offender."

MS. TARLOW: Or update his registration.

THE COURT: Or update his registration.

MS. TARLOW: So on page 1, paragraph 3.

THE COURT: My pagination is not the same as yours. Does the paragraph start third?

MS. TARLOW: It starts with in the preliminary instruction, it starts with, "First, the government must prove that Mr. Diaz was required by federal law to register as a sex offender or to keep his registration information updated and current." We would ask the words "and current" be removed.

THE COURT: OK.

MS. TARLOW: In the following paragraph and in the first sentence, the last words "and current" also be removed.

2.3

Then, as your Honor noted, in the next paragraph that starts with third, that "and current" be removed at the end of that first sentence.

THE COURT: Any objection to those, Mr. Diaz?

MS. TARLOW: Your Honor, there's one final point where it comes up on up is the following paragraph last sentence it says, "And to keep his registration information current," and we would ask that the word current be removed.

THE COURT: Or changed to "updated"?

MS. TARLOW: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Any objections?

MR. DIAZ: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Those changes will be made in the preliminary instruction.

MS. TARLOW: Your Honor, one additional point. We would ask that the Court provide a limiting instruction regarding that there is a difference between the state reporting requirements and the federal reporting requirements under SORNA. As your Honor is aware, an individual is required to register or update his registration within three business days. We expect that our first witness and second witness will testify in a very limited manner that on the state level, according to documents they reviewing that reporting environment within ten business days and we are concerned there may be some confusion with the jury about the difference in

1 | those requirements.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

THE COURT: Why are they testifying about, what the state requirement is?

MS. TARLOW: They're not testifying. There are documents we are introducing into evidence which discussed the defendant's reporting requirements, and within that discussion there is reference to within ten business days.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Diaz, do you have any objection to a limiting instruction?

MR. DIAZ: No objection, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you have any proposed language? Or do you just want me to tell them that there's a difference between the Federal Rules and the state laws?

MS. TARLOW: Yes, your Honor. that will be fine.

THE COURT: And I'll tell them what the Federal Rules are.

Anything else from the government?

MS. TARLOW: No, your Honor. Thank you.

THE COURT: Who is opening?

MR. NESSIM: I am, your Honor.

THE COURT: How long is your opening going to be?

MR. NESSIM: It should not be more than ten minutes.

THE COURT: Mr. Diaz, do you have anything further for me to discuss before we get the jury out here?

MR. DIAZ: Yes, I have an objection.

THE COURT: You have to stand up when you're talking about to me.

MR. DIAZ: I do have an objection to Government Exhibit 35.

THE COURT: We'll deal with that when we get to Exhibit 35. Is that going to be with your first witness?

MS. TARLOW: No, your Honor, not the first witness.

THE COURT: We've got plenty of time to deal with 35.

Is it going to affect how you open, Mr. Diaz?

MR. DIAZ: I'm sorry?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Will it affect my ruling on Government Exhibit 35? Is it going to have any effect on how you open to the jury?

MR. DIAZ: No, it will not, your Honor.

THE COURT: Are you going to make an opening statement?

MR. DIAZ: I may, yes, your Honor. I'm not sure right now, but this is all of a sudden and I'm not prepared at this moment, so I may, after I consult.

THE COURT: Again, Mr. Diaz, that might be a reason for you to stick with your attorney.

MR. DIAZ: I understand that, but I want to proceed myself.

THE COURT: Look, that's your constitutional right.

As I told you, I think at the final conference you're limited

27 JCRSD 1A17-cr-00227-VEC Document 146 Filed 03/13/19 Page 27 of 144 to 15 minutes on your opening statement, if you decide to make 1 2 an opening statement. 3 MR. DIAZ: Yes. THE COURT: You're not required to. Do you understand 4 5 that? Why don't we bring in the jury. We're not going to 6 7 get a jury for a few minutes. So hang out, please. 8 (Recess) 9 THE COURT: Please be seated. We should have a jury 10 soon. Guys, you're going to have to be out of those seats. 11 Those chairs up against the wall are perfect. Just for the record, Ms. Kellman, you can provide 12 13 Mr. Diaz advice, if he wants to listen to you, but when we 14 actually go to take strikes, only he can come. 15 MS. KELLMAN: OK. Yes, ma'am. 16 THE COURT: Mr. Diaz, let me make a suggestion to you. 17 You need to keep your voice down. So to the extent you're 18 trying to have a confidential conversation with your standby 19 counsel, I can hear it. More importantly, the government 20 sitting right in front of you can hear it. 21 MR. DIAZ: Yeah, very well. Thank you, your Honor.

MR. DIAZ: Yeah, very well. Thank you, your Honor (A jury was selected)

23

22

24

25

THE COURT: Members of the jury, now that you've been sworn, I will tell you about your duties as jurors and give you instructions that will help you understand what will be presented during trial. At the end of the trial, I'll give you instructions again. Those instructions will be much more detailed and will control your deliberations.

At the outset, let me impress upon you that you are in every sense of the word judges, judges without robes, the sole judges of the facts of this case. I am the judge of the law, but you are the judges of the facts. It's customary for people to rise as a judge enters and leaves a courtroom, not necessarily as a mark of respect for the judge as a person, but as a mark of respect for the position that he or she occupies. You will soon become aware that as you enter and leave the courtroom, the parties and I will be standing as a mark of our respect for the position that you hold as judges of the facts of this case.

You will determine the facts solely from the evidence that will be presented during the course of the trial. You must not infer from any of my questions or rulings on objections or anything else that I may do during the trial that I have a view on the credibility of the witnesses or an opinion about the facts or about how you should decide the case. As the sole judges of the facts, you will have to determine which of the witnesses you believe, what portion of their testimony

you accept, and what weight you attach to it.

It is the duty of the parties to object when the other side offers testimony or other evidence that the party believes is not properly admissible. Therefore, you should draw no inference if a party objects to some evidence, nor should you draw any inference from my rulings on an objection. If I sustain an objection, I will not permit the witness to answer, or if the witness has already answered, I will instruct that the answer be stricken and that you disregard it. If I overrule an objection, the witness will be allowed to answer. You should not give any added weight to the answer to a question that was objected to and you should not speculate about what the answer would have been if an objection to a question is sustained.

You will decide the facts from the evidence that will be presented in court. That evidence will consist of the testimony of witnesses on both direct and cross-examination, documents and other things received into evidence as exhibits, and any facts that the parties agree to or admit or that I may instruct you to find. There's no magic formula that you should use to evaluate the evidence.

I will, however, give you some general guidelines for determining the credibility of witnesses at the end of the case. For now, I will just tell you to bring into this courtroom all of the experiences and background of your lives.

You should not leave your common sense at home. The same types of judgments which you use every day to make important decisions in your own life are the judgments that you should bring to bear on your consideration of the evidence in this case.

I want to take a moment to tell you what is not evidence in the case. Questions are not evidence. It is only the witnesses' answers that are evidence. Similarly, arguments are not evidence. The opening and closing statements are intended to help you understand the evidence and to reach your verdict, but they are not themselves evidence. Anything that I may say concerning the evidence is not evidence. Testimony that has been stricken or excluded is not evidence, and it may not be considered by you in rendering your verdict. Finally, anything that you may have seen or heard outside the courtroom is not evidence.

The defendant Salvador Diaz has chosen to represent himself during this trial. Mr. Diaz, like every defendant in a criminal case, has a constitutional right to be represented by an attorney or to represent himself. Mr. Diaz's decision to represent himself can have no bearing on your verdict and you may not draw any inference favorable or unfavorable based on the fact that he is representing himself.

Although Mr. Diaz is representing himself, there will be attorneys standing by to advise him if he chooses to consult

with them. Those attorneys, Susan Kellman and Carlos Santiago, are referred to as standby counsel. Whether Mr. Diaz chooses to consult with standby counsel has no bearing on any issue that you must decide and you must not draw any inference from Mr. Diaz's decision to consult or not to consult with Ms. Kellman or Mr. Santiago.

Even though Mr. Diaz is representing himself, the same rules apply to him as apply to attorneys. Questions that he may ask and arguments that he may make are not evidence. The only evidence in this case is the sworn testimony that witnesses provide on the witness stand, the exhibits that are received into evidence, and any facts that the parties agree to or admit or that I may instruct you to find.

Now I'm now going to tell you a little bit about the law that you will have to apply to the facts as you find them. These are only preliminary and summary instructions. They are designed to help you evaluate the evidence as you see and hear it in light of what you will be asked to decide after you have heard all of the evidence. The final instructions that I will give you at the end of the trial will contain more detail about the applicable law. To the extent there are any differences between my preliminary instructions and the final instructions at the end of the trial, the final instructions will control.

The government has charged Mr. Diaz with failing to register or to update his registration as a sex offender. The

government has the burden of proving every element of this charge beyond a reasonable doubt.

In order to sustain its burden, the government must prove three elements beyond a reasonable doubt. First, the government must prove that Mr. Diaz was required by federal law to register as a sex offender or to keep his registration information updated. Under federal law, an individual is required to register as a sex offender and to keep his registration information current if he has previously been convicted of a sex offense. I will instruct you on the definition of a sex offense at the conclusion of the trial.

Second, the government must prove that Mr. Diaz traveled in interstate commerce during the time that he was required to register as a sex offender or to keep his sex offender registration updated. To travel in interstate commerce just means to travel from one state to another.

Third, the government must prove that Mr. Diaz knowingly failed to register as a sex offender or to keep his sex offender information updated. Federal law requires sex offenders to notify the authorities of any change in residence within three business days of the change. Thus, the government must prove that Mr. Diaz changed his residence, and knowing that he had a duty to notify the authorities of the change, voluntarily and deliberately failed to do so.

In considering whether the government has proven these

elements, you should not concern yourselves with the facts or circumstances underlying Mr. Diaz's alleged prior conviction.

You may not consider evidence of Mr. Diaz's alleged prior conviction as evidence that he has a criminal personality or a bad character. You may consider any evidence of a prior conviction only to determine whether Mr. Diaz is required to register as a sex offender and to keep his registration information current and not for any other purpose.

I've only given you a short summary of the elements of the charged crimes. At the conclusion of the trial, I will explain in greater detail what the government must prove in order to satisfy its burden of proof. For now I'll ask you to remember throughout the trial that Mr. Diaz is presumed innocent and the government has the burden of proving guilt beyond a reasonable doubt. The indictment against Mr. Diaz is only an accusation. It is not proof of guilt or anything else. Mr. Diaz starts off with a clean slate and he keeps that clean slate throughout the trial.

Because the burden of proof is on the government,

Mr. Diaz does not need to present any evidence in this case if
he chooses not to. He could sit in silence throughout all of
these proceedings without ever saying a word and you could draw
no inference against him. You cannot find Mr. Diaz guilty
unless and until you are unanimously convinced beyond a
reasonable doubt of his guilt based on the evidence in this

1 | case.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

You have each been given memo books in which you may take notes. You don't have to take notes, but you can if you wish. Please be sure that any note-taking does not interfere with your listening and considering the evidence. Also, if you do take notes, you must not show them to or discuss them with any other juror or anyone else either before or during your deliberations. Any notes you take may be used solely to assist you and your notes are not a substitute for your recollection of the evidence. If during your deliberations you have any question about any of the testimony or just need your recollection refreshed, you will be permitted to ask that the official transcript that is being made of these proceedings be read to you. Leave your northbound notebooks on your seat when you leave the courtroom. We will safeguard them during the trial. After the trial has concluded your notes will be collected and destroyed.

Now I need to caution you about certain rules that govern your conduct as jurors. First, you must keep an open mind during the trial. The you cannot decide the case based on little bits and pieces of evidence and it's not fair to the parties for you to start making up your mind until you've heard all of the evidence.

Along those lines, do not talk to each other or to anyone else about this case until all of the evidence has been

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

received, you've been charged on the law and sent to the jury room to deliberate. If you are asked, you may say you are a juror in a criminal case that is expected to last less than one week, but you may not tell anyone anything about the case until you have been discharged from the jury by me.

Do not let anyone talk to you about the case. Ιf someone tries to talk to you, please report it to me immediately through Mr. Pecorino. You should not, however, discuss with your fellow jurors either that fact or any other fact that you feel is necessary to bring to the attention of the Court. Do not talk to any of the parties or the attorneys or any witnesses. By this I mean do not talk at all, even to pass the time of day or to say good morning. The lawyers and the parties know that they are not supposed to speak to you or even acknowledge you with a hello or a good morning outside of the courtroom. So while it may seem odd not to say hello or to acknowledge the parties or the attorneys, if you run into them coming into the courthouse or in the elevator, you will simply be creating an awkward situation for everyone if you speak to them or even acknowledge their presence with a nod or a smile. They know they cannot speak to you even to exchange pleasantries, so don't put them in a position where they seem to snub you because you acknowledged them.

I do not anticipate that there will be any press coverage of this case, but if there is, do not read any news

stories or articles or listen to any radio or television reports about the case or about anyone who has anything to do with it. Do not do any research or any investigation about the case on your own. You as jurors must decide this case based solely on the evidence presented in the courtroom. This means that during the trial, you must not conduct any independent research about the case, the matters in the case, the parties involved, the attorneys or any witnesses. Do not consult dictionaries or reference material or search the Internet to obtain information about the case. Do not visit in person or via the Internet any place you may hear described during the trial.

My direction that you not talk about the case until you retire to deliberate includes the use of social media or other technology to communicate with anyone about the case or even about your experience as a juror, including postings on Twitter, Facebook, Snapchat, Instagram or YouTube. I've probably missed some services, maybe even your current favorite. I have not intentionally done so. Do not communicate about the case or about your experiences as a juror through any means until you have completed your deliberation and have been excused. I stress this at some length because I know that some people have become so accustomed to posting everything they do on certain sites that they might do so without even thinking about it. If you're one of those people,

you have to squelch that desire until this case is over. It you become aware that any other juror is violating this instruction, you should bring it to my attention through Mr. Pecorino, but do not make it known to the other jurors.

Finally, I would like to summarize the stages of the trial for you. First, each side may but does not have to make an opening statement. An opening statement is not evidence. It's just the outline of what that party intends to prove and is offered to help you follow the evidence. After opening statements, the government will present evidence and Mr. Diaz may cross-examine them. Then, if desired, Mr. Diaz may, but he does not have to, present evidence and the government may cross-examine them. After Mr. Diaz has rested, the government may call additional witnesses to rebut any evidence that Mr. Diaz has presented.

After all of that, the parties will make their closing arguments to summarize and give their interpretation of the evidence. Obviously, like opening statements, the closing arguments are not evidence. After the closing arguments, I will give you instructions on the law and then you will retire to deliberate on your verdict. Keep an open mind until I have instructed you on the law at the end of the day and after you and your fellow jurors have discussed the evidence.

We will generally sit from 9:30 to 5:00. So that we can start promptly at 9:30 I'm asking you all to get here

between 9 and 9:15. Remember, it always takes a little bit of time to get through security, so allow for a little extra time.

We'll have coffee and a light breakfast available for you starting at 9. Let me stress how important it is for you all to arrive on time. I estimate that this trial will last less than a week, but that schedule depends on us staying on time every day. We cannot start unless we have all of the jurors.

So please be on time.

We will break for lunch generally between 12:30 and 1 for about an hour and we'll have a ten-minute break in the middle of the morning and in the middle of the afternoon. We will not take any other breaks, so please plan accordingly. This week we will sit Monday through Thursday. I don't think we're going to go beyond that. I think that will be long enough, but if it's not, we'll talk about on Thursday whether or not we're going to sit on Friday or not. I usually don't sit on Friday? It's going to kind of depend on what's going on. Let me stress again, if you're late you will keep all of your fellow jurors, me, and the parties waiting. We cannot start until all of our jurors and the alternates are here. So please be on time.

The parties and I will be here no later than 9:15 so we can avoid keeping you waiting. That said, if there are times that we do keep you in the jury room waiting. Recognize that we're working in the courtroom and we will try to minimize

the amount of time that you're waiting for us in the jury room.

With that, Mr. Nessim.

MR. NESSIM: Good afternoon.

In 2014, Salvador Diaz, the defendant, moved from

New York to New Jersey. The defendant knew exactly what he

needed to do to move his life over to his new state. He got a

New Jersey driver's license, he gave his bank his New Jersey

address, he registered to vote in New Jersey.

But Salvador Diaz didn't do the one thing he was required by law to do, the one thing he knew he had to do.

Salvador Diaz did not register as a sex offender in New Jersey. The defendant Salvador Diaz, that man, was convicted of a sex offense, and because of that conviction, he is required by law to register as a sex offender in every state where he lives. And he must keep his registration up to date. If the defendant moves to a different state, he must register as a sex offender in that new state. The defendant knew about these requirements and he ignored them. That's why we're here, because Salvador Diaz broke the law when he failed to register as a sex offender after he moved from New York to New Jersey.

Now, this opening statement is our opportunity to give you a preview of what we expect will happen at this trial. I'm going to do that in three parts. First, I'll talk about what the evidence will show; second, I'm going to say a bit about the charges in this case; and third, I'll describe how we're

going to prove beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant is guilty.

So what will the evidence show? You'll learn that states like New York and New Jersey compile registration information from the sex offenders who are living in that state. The state then makes that information available to the public. This helps inform the public about sex offenders who might live in their neighborhoods or work near their schools. You'll learn that in 2000, the defendant was convicted of a sex offense. As a result of that conviction, the defendant was required to register as a sex offender in every state where he lived, and the defendant was required by law to update his registration or make a new registration if he moved his residence.

You'll learn that the defendant was informed of these registration requirements. After his sex offense conviction and that the defendant even signed forms acknowledging that he was aware of those requirements. And, after his release from prison, the defendant actually was registered as a sex offender in New York. Between 2007 and 2014, the defendant for approximately seven years, he lived with his mother in an apartment in upper Manhattan. He signed annual sex offender registry forms with New York State affirming that his registration at that address was current and correct.

But that all changed in 2014 when the defendant moved

with his mother from Manhattan, New York, to Long Branch,

New Jersey. He knew that he was required to update his sex

offender registration, but you'll hear that he did not register

in New Jersey in 2014, or in any other year after that. You

will also learn about all of the steps that the defendant took

to move every other aspect of his life over to New Jersey.

You'll learn that within months of vacating his apartment in

New York, the defendant had already changed his address with

his bank, he got a New Jersey driver's license and registered

his cars in New Jersey. He registered to vote in New Jersey,

but he didn't register as a sex offender in New Jersey.

Now, the defendant wasn't on the lease on the

New Jersey apartment with his mother, but he had a key fob to

access the building and he lived there. You'll learn that the

building management began to suspect that the defendant was

living at his mother's apartment building. They told the

defendant that if he wanted to stay there, he would need to be

formally permitted to live at the apartment which would require

a background check.

But the evidence will show that the defendant didn't want that. And after that conversation, the building management at the New Jersey apartment reviewed surveillance footage and showed that the defendant had been sneaking into and out of the building. The management was so sure that the defendant was living at the building in violation of his

mother's lease that the building took preliminary steps to evict the defendant's mother.

So what did the defendant do? He just moved to a different address in New Jersey with his sister, and month after month he failed to register as a sex offender. You'll learn that the defendant's failure to register finally caught up with him in 2017 when the defendant was arrested by the U.S. marshals. You will hear this the defendant admitted that he moved from New York to New Jersey to live with his mother and then his sister. The defendant told the Deputy U.S. Marshal who arrested him that he knew he was required to register as a sex offender but that the last time he had registered was in 2014 in New York.

As a result of what I just described, the defendant is charged with one count of failing to register as a sex offender under the Sex Offender Registration and Notification Act, which is sometimes called SORNA. That's what the evidence is going to show.

Now let's talk about how we are going to prove that the defendant is guilty. The evidence will come in several forms, including through documents and the testimony of witnesses. Let's start with the documents. You'll see documentary evidence like the certified copy of the defendant's conviction for a sex offense and the forms the defendant signed acknowledging that he was required to register as a sex

offender in any state where he lived. You'll see a sex offender registration forms that the defendant did submit when he lived in Manhattan before 2014. You'll also see all of the documents proving that the defendant moved from New York to New Jersey in 2014. You'll see the documents showing the defendant and his mother vacated their Manhattan apartment in 2014, and the lease documents for the apartment in New Jersey. You'll see the defendant's New Jersey car registration, driver's license, and voter registration. You'll see that the defendant updated his address with his bank showing that he lived first with his mother in New Jersey, then his sister, also in New Jersey.

You'll hear from witnesses at this trial, like the building managers at the apartments where defendant lived in New York and New Jersey. You'll hear from a representative of the State of New Jersey, who will tell you that the defendant never registered as a sex offender there. Finally, you'll hear from the Deputy U.S. Marshal what arrested the defendant in January 2017. She will tell you that the defendant himself told her that he knew he had to register as a sex offender, he last registered as a sex offender in 2014 in New York State, and after he left New York, he lived with his mother and sister in New Jersey.

This evidence will prove beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant is guilty of failing to register as a sex

offender. This will not be a long trial, but it is an important trial. It's important to the defendant and it's important to the government. And it is simple, the defendant knew he was supposed to register as a sex offender but intentionally failed to do so.

Before I sit down, I'd like to ask you to do three things throughout this trial. First, pay close attention to the evidence; second, follow Judge Caproni's instructions on the law; and third, use your common sense, the same common sense you use to make decisions in your daily life. If you do those three things, you will reach the only verdict consistent with the evidence in this case, that the defendant is guilty.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Nessim.

Mr. Diaz.

MR. DIAZ: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen of the jury, your Honor.

Let me tell you a little bit about myself first. My name is Salvador Diaz. Obviously I'm not an attorney. I chose to represent myself. I may seem a little nervous, it's because I am. But I'm going to try to explain to you some of the issues that I think my situation is.

First of all, I'm a 65 year-old native of the

Dominican Republic. I came here in 1970 and throughout the

decade of the 70s I both worked as a tailor in the Garment

District in New York and I completed high school. In 1990, I

joined the United States Navy and was -- I joined the United States Navy in 1980, reaching the rank of chief officer. For 20 years I was a widely recognized as an expert in my field of electronics and weapons system within the Navy.

"Stigma" is defined as a word or disgrace associated with a particular circumstances, quality or person. Throughout our history — the history of our nation, since the beginning of the history of our nation, we have undergone always been subjected to some stigma of some type regarding certain actions of individuals. Sex offense is one of those. Because of that stigma, it can greatly affect the way that you or a particular individual can — it can affect the impartiality of many individuals. That's exactly why we chose you as a jury. You went through, you saw a lengthy questionnaire and a lot of personal questions were asked about you to determine that you would be capable of reaching a decision without being affected by the fact that I'm being accused of being a sex offender. That is exactly what you're expected to do. Excuse me a minute, let me read this.

The burden of proof in this is on the government to prove that I failed to register as a sex offender. I am presumed innocent until that the government presents that evidence. So when you listen to the government's case, it's going to be important that you pay attention to a few things that are key in this case. The judge already instructed you to

2.3

a limited extent as to what the government needs to do so prove my guilt. That is, they both satisfy the element of the offense, the elements are the things that the government has to prove according to the law. So you must pay very close attention to these elements that they've brought into evidence. Again, the judge will explain what that means.

Also, the government must prove in this case that I am a sex offender, that I am required to register as a sex offender, and that I knowingly failed to register. They have already talked to you about that. We will see what the evidence produces.

That is why it's important that you understand what knowingly means. Here, the evidence is that mere negligence or failure to register is not enough to satisfy the evidence. The government must prove this to you, because you are the trier of fact beyond a reasonable doubt. You must be convinced that they have proved the elements of the offense beyond a reasonable doubt. So, please, pay close attention to the evidence and I'm sure that you will reach a fair verdict, which is what the United States of America guarantees, a fair trial. So keep that in mind, please.

I thank you now.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Diaz.

OK. Call your first witness.

MR. NESSIM: The government calls Alison Ernst, your

1 Honor.

8

- 2 | ALISON ERNST,
- 3 called as a witness by the Government,
- 4 having been duly sworn, testified as follows:
- MR. DIAZ: Your Honor, we have the same issue here
 that we had this morning with the podium, that it's obstructing
- 7 | our view from the jury and the jury view from us.
 - THE COURT: Mr. Diaz, have a seat. Can you push the podium back just a little bit.
- MR. NESSIM: Yes, your Honor.
- 11 | THE COURT: That's where it lives.
- 12 MR. NESSIM: Your Honor, I'm just providing the
- 13 defendant with a copy of the exhibits that I plan to use with
- 14 | the witness.
- 15 THE COURT: OK.
- 16 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 17 BY MR. NESSIM:
- 18 Q. Good afternoon, Ms. Ernst.
- 19 A. Good afternoon.
- 20 | Q. Where do you work?
- 21 A. New York State Division of Criminal Justice Services, Sex
- 22 | Offender Registry.
- $23 \parallel Q$. And what is the abbreviation for the Division of Criminal
- 24 | Justice Services?
- 25 | A. DCJS.

- 1 | Q. How long have you worked at DCJS?
- 2 | A. 18 years.
- 3 | Q. How long have you worked at the sex offender registry?
- 4 A. For three.
- 5 | Q. In what city is your office located?
- 6 A. Albany, New York.
- 7 | Q. What is the sex offender registry?
- 8 A. It's a unit that was put in place from the SORA law that
- 9 went into effect to notify communities of registered sex
- 10 offenders.
- 11 | Q. And what is your title at the sex offender registry?
- 12 A. Identification specialist.
- 13 | Q. What are your duties and responsibilities as an
- 14 | identification specialist?
- 15 A. To record and maintain all of the registered sex offenders
- 16 | in New York State, and as my title, I handled any fingerprints
- 17 | or identity elements that arise within the registry.
- 18 | Q. During the course of your work as identification
- 19 | specialist, have you become familiar with the sex offender
- 20 | registry requirements in New York State?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 \parallel Q. What is the name of the sex offender registry in New York?
- 23 | A. The New York State Sex Offender Registry.
- 24 \parallel Q. Is that accessible to the public or to a smaller subset of
- 25 | people?

- A. Excuse me?
- 2 | 0. Who is that database accessible to?
- 3 A. We have two databases, we have an in-house and a public
- 4 website.

- $5 \parallel Q$. Let's start with the in-house. Does that database have a
- 6 | particular title?
- 7 A. Yes, it's the IJ portal.
- 8 | Q. When you say "in-house," who is accessible to?
- 9 A. To the New York State Sex Offender Registry and also law enforcement.
- 11 | THE COURT: Did you say IJ portal?
- 12 | THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.
- 13 | Q. And the second database, who is that accessible to?
- 14 A. The public.
- 15 | Q. How is an individual's information added to the sex
- 16 | offender registry?
- 17 A. When they become convicted of -- convicted of a
- 18 registerable offense.
- 19 | Q. And a registerable offense is a defined set of offenses?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 \mathbb{Q} . How is the information physically added to the database?
- 22 | A. We receive a registration form depending on where they were
- 23 || convicted and how they were convicted. If you were convicted
- 24 and served probation, the court sends in your registration
- 25 | form. If you're convicted and sent to prison or jail, your

- 1 | jail or prison does the registration form. And if it's an out
- 2 of state conviction, the board of examiners determines whether
- 3 | you need to register or not and then they send us the
- 4 registration form.
- 5 Q. And what information is provided on those forms?
- A. The defendant's ID data, arrest information, and where the person is going to be residing.
- 8 | Q. And how are those forms processed once you receive them?
- 9 A. We enter it into the IJ portal.
- 10 Q. And what information specifically is included into the IJ
- 11 portal?
- 12 A. Everything that's on the registration form.
- 13 | Q. If you could remind us again, what is that information?
- 14 A. The name, DOB, social security number, race, sex, anything
- 15 | to do with the crime, information action the arresting agency,
- 16 | and where the person is going to be residing.
- 17 | Q. And once a sex offender is registered, what, if any,
- 18 | ongoing registration requirements apply to them in New York
- 19 | State?
- 20 | A. They need to update their photo, they need to make any
- 21 changes to their records within a ten-day time frame, they need
- 22 | to annually verify all of their information on the sex offender
- 23 | registry.
- 24 THE COURT: Let me just tell you, what she just told
- 25 | is you what the New York State requirements are. Those

- 1 | requirements are not necessarily the same as the federal
- 2 | requirements and you'll hear evidence about the federal
- 3 | requirements. So she's just give you this as background
- 4 | information. This is about compliance with the federal law.
- 5 BY MR. NESSIM:
- 6 Q. You mentioned an annual verification, how does that process
- 7 | work?
- 8 A. 21 days before the defendant's or the sex offender's
- 9 registration date, a long form goes out with all of the
- 10 | information register's record gets printed out and mailed to
- 11 | the last reported address in the sex offender registry.
- 12 | Q. And what information is the individual who receives that
- 13 | form expected to do?
- 14 A. They need to go through and make up any corrections or
- 15 | update any information that is required, sign and date it, and
- 16 | return it to DCJS.
- 17 | Q. And how long does an individual receiving that form have to
- 18 | return it?
- 19 | A. 10 days.
- 20 \parallel Q. And what happens if they don't return that form in time?
- 21 A. It's a charge. They could be charged in a crime.
- 22 | Q. To back up a moment, you mentioned the information that's
- 23 | included in the IJ portal when you received the forms. What,
- 24 || if any, information is made available to the public in the
- 25 | public database?

1 (In open court) 2 THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, I'm going send you 3 back to the jury room. We're going to see if we can get the 4 audio visual stuff fixed. You might as well relax in the jury room while we do that. Hopefully it's not going to be very 5 6 long. 7 Don't discuss the case. Stay in the jury room, 8 please. Leave your books on your chair. 9 (Recess) 10 (Jury present) 11 THE COURT: Please be seated, everybody. 12 Ms. Ernst, you're still under oath. 13 Mr. Nessim. 14 BY MR. NESSIM: 15 Welcome back, Ms. Ernst. 16 Hi. Α. 17 MR. NESSIM: Your Honor, at this time the government 18 offers Government Exhibit 1 into evidence pursuant to Federal 19 Rule of Evidence 902. 20 THE COURT: Any objection? 21 MR. DIAZ: No objection. THE COURT: Government Exhibit 1 is received. 22

(Government Exhibit 1 received in evidence)

MR. NESSIM: Can we please publish Government Exhibit

2.3

24

25

one for the jury?

2 of them?

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

2.3

24

25

MR. NESSIM: Page 1 reads, "United States of America, Department of the Navy."

THE COURT: Does everybody have on the screen in front

55

Ms. Dunbar, can you please turn to page 2 of Government Exhibit 1 and let's zoom into the top half of the document.

It reads, "General Court Marshal Order No. 5-01, before a general court marshal convened at naval legal services office," and slightly later in that paragraph, "Salvador Diaz, u.S. Navy was arraigned and tried on the following offenses and the following findings or other dispositions were reached: Charge one, violation of the UCMJ, Article 120, finding guilty."

Thank you, Ms. Dunbar. You can take that down.

16 BY MR. NESSIM:

- Q. Ms. Ernst, have you reviewed the DCJS's sex offender registration records relating to Salvador Diaz in your preparation to testify today?
- A. Yes.
- Q. I'm showing you what's been marked for identification as
 Government Exhibits 2 through 4, 6 through 13, and 62.

THE COURT: I'm sorry. 6 through --

MR. NESSIM: 6 through 13 and 62.

(Continued on next page)

- 1 BY MR. NESSIM:
- 2 Q. Please take a moment to look through these and let me know
- 3 when you've had a chance to see them.
- 4 A. All set.
- 5 | Q. Do you recognize those documents?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Are those records maintained by the New York DCJS?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Is the creation and retention of those records in the
- 10 regular practice of DCJS?
- 11 | A. Yes.
- 12 | Q. Were those records made at or near the time of the
- 13 | information described within them?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 | Q. And were they made by individuals with direct knowledge of
- 16 | the information or based on information conveyed from
- 17 | individuals with direct knowledge?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 MR. NESSIM: Your Honor, at this time the government
- 20 | offers Government Exhibit two through four, six through 13, and
- 21 | 62.
- 22 | THE COURT: Any objection?
- 23 | THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. I have an objection
- 24 | to document three, Government Exhibit 3.
- 25 THE COURT: Come up. Come to side bar, please.

1 (At side bar)

2.3

THE COURT: What's your objection?

THE DEFENDANT: Well, this is a lot of handwriting.

First of all, I'd like to voir dire the witness to determine if she's the one that used this handwriting in the document, because I have an objection as to the accuracy. And I don't think they're accurate and I'd like to find out how did this come about.

THE COURT: I'll let you -- you can cross-examine her when the time comes.

THE DEFENDANT: Well, I feel --

THE COURT: She established a foundation. You're going to try to cross-examine to suggest that even though these are business records, they're not accurate, right?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes. They're not accurate.

THE COURT: But they've established a foundation that they are business records, that is they are maintained in the ordinary course, it's regular practice to maintain them, they're prepared by someone with knowledge of the facts. Your argument is going to be the people that acknowledged the facts were wrong, right?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: You want to be heard?

MR. NESSIM: I believe they're business records, and we think they would be proper ground.

- THE COURT: Objection is overruled. So, Government
- 2 Exhibit 2, 3, 4, 6 through 13, and 62 are received.
- 3 (Government's Exhibits 2, 3, 4, 6 13, 62 received in
- 4 | evidence)
- 5 MR. NESSIM: Ms. Dunbar, please publish Government
- 6 Exhibit 2.
- 7 BY MR. NESSIM:
- 8 0. What is this document?
- 9 A. It's a notice of release. Acknowledgment of sex-offender
- 10 registration requirements.
- 11 \parallel Q. And what is a notice of release?
- 12 A. It's when a defendant is released from a facility and it
- 13 has intentions of residing in New York state and they have been
- 14 convicted of a sexual registerable offense.
- 15 | Q. And is this a notice of release that was sent to DCJS?
- 16 | A. Yes.
- 17 | Q. Who sent this notice?
- 18 A. The United States Disciplinary Barracks in Fort
- 19 Leavenworth, Kansas.
- 20 MR. NESSIM: Ms. Dunbar, can you please highlight the
- 21 | top half of the page through number six. Thank you.
- 22 | Q. Ms. Ernst, who is this notice of release -- who is the
- 23 | subject of this notice of release?
- 24 A. Salvador N. Diaz.
- 25 MR. NESSIM: Ms. Dunbar, can you please blow up the

- 1 | bottom of this exhibit, section eight.
- 2 | Q. Ms. Ernst, what is the home address that's listed for
- 3 | Mr. Diaz?
- 4 A. 26988th Avenue, Apartment 6B; New York, New York 10030.
- 5 | Q. Can you please read that certification?
- 6 A. "I hereby acknowledge that I was informed that, upon my
- 7 | release from confinement or military service, I am subject to
- 8 | registration requirements as a sex-offender in any state or
- 9 U.S. territory in which I reside, be employed, carry on a
- 10 | vocation or be a student. I was further informed that the
- 11 | chief local law enforcement officer of the jurisdiction in
- 12 | which I will reside upon release from confinement or military
- 13 service is being provided written notice of the date of my
- 14 | release from confinement of military service, the offenses of
- 15 | and which I was convicted and that I am subject to a
- 16 registration requirement as a sex-offender. This notice will
- 17 \parallel also be submitted to the state local law enforcement and
- 18 | sex-offender officials. I understand that I must contact the
- 19 | office that files to make sure that sex-offender registration
- 20 | requirements are met."
- 21 | Q. You can skip that address. But can you read the
- 22 | acknowledgment below?
- 23 | A. "I acknowledge that I was informed that every change of my
- 24 | address must be reported in a manner provide by state law. I
- 25 | also acknowledge being informed that if I move to another

- 1 | state, I must report the change of address to the responsible
- 2 | agency in the state I am leaving and comply with the
- 3 | registration requirements in the new state of residence. I
- 4 understand that the failure to register may constitute grounds
- 5 | to revoke parole."
- 6 Finally, "I understand that if I fail to register,
- 7 | and/or change or update such registration information, as
- 8 | required under a state sex-offender registration program, I may
- 9 | be subject to criminal prosecution."
- 10 | Q. Are there initials beside those acknowledgments?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Can you read those?
- 13 | A. S.D.
- 14 | Q. And is the acknowledgment signed?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 || Q. By an offender?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 | Q. Can you read that signature, please?
- 19 A. Salvador Diaz.
- 20 | Q. What happens once DCJS receives the notice that we just
- 21 | talked about?
- 22 A. When the registry receives the form, we forward it to the
- 23 | board of examiners, the only entity in New York state that can
- 24 determine if a non-New York state conviction is registerable in
- 25 | New York state.

- 1 MR. NESSIM: Ms. Dunbar, please publish Government
- 2 Exhibit 3.
- $3 \parallel Q$. What is this?
- 4 A. New York sex-offender registration form.
- 5 | O. And what is the it used for?
- 6 A. This is all the information that gets put into our IJ
- 7 portal, which the defendant becomes a registered sex-offender
- 8 | in New York state.
- 9 Q. So, how does DCJS receive a form like this?
- 10 A. In this case, since it was a non-New York state conviction,
- 11 | this came from the board of examiners for the New York state
- 12 sex-offender registry to register the defendant.
- 13 | Q. And what does this sex-offender registration form in
- 14 | Mr. Diaz's file indicate about his need to register?
- 15 A. That the board of examiners has deemed him required to
- 16 register as a sex-offender in New York state.
- MR. NESSIM: Ms. Dunbar, can you please zoom in to the
- 18 | stamp at the top of the page.
- 19 \parallel Q. What is the date this document was received by DCJS?
- 20 A. April 6th, 2007.
- 21 | Q. How do you know that?
- 22 A. Because any mail or forms that come into our office must be
- 23 | stamped at the time of receipt.
- MR. NESSIM: Ms. Dunbar please publish Government
- $25 \parallel \text{Exhibit } 4.$

- 1 | Q. What happens after you receive the form we just saw?
- 2 A. Since it was a non-New York state conviction, we fill out a
- 3 | registration form and mail it to the offender for him or she to
- 4 | sign and return it to us. And this is the receipt from the
- 5 certified mailing.
- 6 0. Government Exhibit 4?
- 7 | A. Correct.
- 8 Q. How do you know that this is the receipt from that
- 9 certified mail?
- 10 A. Because that's the only form that the sex-offender registry
- 11 | sends out certified mail.
- 12 \parallel Q. And who prepared this label that's on the receipt?
- 13 A. Someone at the New York State Sex-offender Registry.
- 14 \parallel Q. And what is the address on the label?
- 15 | A. 2698 8th Avenue, 6B; New York, New York City 10030.
- MR. NESSIM: Ms. Dunbar, please publish Government
- 17 | Exhibit 62.
- 18 | Q. What is this?
- 19 A. This is a copy of the New York State Sex-offender Registry
- 20 | from the IJ portal, that is our in-house record.
- $21 \parallel Q$. And who maintains the information on the IJ portal?
- 22 \parallel A. The sex-offender registry unit.
- 23 \parallel Q. And who is the subject of this report?
- 24 | A. Salvador Diaz.
- 25 MR. NESSIM: Ms. Dunbar, please turn to page three of

- 1 | this exhibit and zoom in to the current registration section.
- 2 Q. Ms. Ernst, what is the date of registration?
 - A. March 30th, 2007.
- $4 \parallel Q$. And what is the ending date?
- 5 | A. Life.

- 6 MR. NESSIM: Ms. Dunbar, please publish Government
- 7 | Exhibit 6.
- 8 0. What is this?
- 9 A. It's a sex-offender registry address verification form.
- 10 | Q. And what is a sex-offender registry address verification
- 11 | form?
- 12 \parallel A. This is the form that we used to mail out once a year.
- 13 | Q. This is part of the annual verification process?
- 14 | A. Correct.
- 15 | Q. And who is this form addressed to?
- 16 A. Salvador Diaz.
- 17 | Q. What address is this form mailed to?
- 18 A. 2698 8th Avenue, Apartment 6B; New York City, New York
- 19 | 10030.
- 20 | Q. What is printed under the name of the form at the top of
- 21 | the page?
- 22 | A. Please correct any information that is inaccurate.
- 23 | Q. What, if any, changes were made to this form?
- 24 \parallel A. The address was changed, and a vehicle was added.
- 25 \parallel Q. What is the change to the address that was made?

- 1 A. Change to the address to 52 Arden Street, Apartment 5A; New
- 2 York City, New York 10040.
- $3 \parallel Q$. Is this form signed?
- 4 | A. Yes.
- 5 | Q. Please read the signature.
- 6 A. Salvador Diaz.
- 7 | Q. What is the date?
- 8 | A. 4/3/2008.
- 9 Q. Let's turn to page two. Was this form returned to DCJS?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 | Q. How do you know?
- 12 A. Because any mailings or forms that come into our office
- 13 | must be stamped -- time and date-stamped.
- 14 Q. And why is it important to stamp the forms coming into your
- 15 office?
- 16 A. To verify when we receive them. Annual verification, the
- 17 change of address forms must be processed in a timely manner,
- 18 so they have to be stamped in as soon as we receive them.
- 19 | Q. And why do they need to be processed in a timely manner?
- 20 A. Because of the ten-day law change for the sex-offender and
- 21 | also the annual verification. They only have ten days to
- 22 | return it to us. Sometimes the forms sit in bins for a day or
- 23 | two, and we need to make sure that we know when we received it
- 24 | in order to make the sex-offender not fail if they report
- 25 within that timeframe.

- 1 MR. NESSIM: Ms. Dunbar, please publish Government
- $2 \parallel \text{Exhibit } 7.$
- $3 \parallel Q$. Ms. Ernst, what is this?
- 4 A. Sex-offender registry address verification form.
- $5 \parallel Q$. And what year is this for?
- 6 A. 2010.
- 7 | Q. Who was it sent to?
- 8 A. Salvador Diaz.
- 9 Q. At what address?
- 10 A. 52 Arden Street, Apartment 5A; New York, New York 10040.
- 11 | Q. Was it signed?
- 12 | A. Yes.
- 13 | Q. Can you read the signature?
- 14 A. Salvador Diaz.
- MR. NESSIM: Ms. Dunbar, please publish Government
- 16 Exhibit 8.
- 17 \parallel Q. What is this?
- 18 A. This is the New York state sex-offender registry annual
- 19 | address verification form.
- 20 | Q. Why does this form look different than the last two address
- 21 | verification forms we've seen?
- 22 | A. We needed to update our form to include more information as
- 23 || concerning internet and multiple addresses.
- 24 | Q. And who is this form sent to?
- 25 | A. Salvador Diaz.

- Q. And what year?
- 2 A. 2011.

5

14

17

- 3 MR. NESSIM: Zoom in to the instructions on the first 4 page.
 - Q. Ms. Ernst, would you please read the instructions?
- "Instructions: One, review each line of information on 6 7 this form carefully. Two, if you find any information that is 8 incorrect or outdated, cross out incorrect or outdated 9 information with a single line. Three, enter any corrections 10 or any new additional information in the blank boxes provided. 11 This form must be signed and all pages returned, even if none 12 of the information has changed. Failure to return all pages of 13 this form within ten days of receipt is a felony and may result
- MR. NESSIM: Ms. Dunbar, please turn to the second page and let's zoom in to the primary address section.
 - Q. What's listed as the primary address here?

in the issuance of a warrant for your arrest."

- 18 | A. 52 Arden Street, Apartment 5A; New York, New York, 10040.
- MR. NESSIM: Ms. Dunbar, please turn to page five of this exhibit and zoom in.
- 21 | Q. Ms. Ernst, please read the text at the top of the page?
- A. "I certify that the information on this form is complete and accurate. I have crossed out all information that is incorrect or outdated. I have added all corrections and all new information. I understand that failing to provide this

- 1 | information or providing false information is a felony.
- Q. Is this form signed?
- 3 | A. Yes.
- 4 | Q. Can you please read the signature?
- 5 A. Salvador Diaz.
- 6 MR. NESSIM: Ms. Dunbar, please publish Government
- 7 Exhibit 9.
- 8 | Q. Is this the same form we've seen a couple times?
- 9 A. Correct.
- 10 | Q. What's the date of this form?
- 11 | A. 2012.
- 12 | Q. That's the year on the form?
- 13 A. Correct.
- 14 | Q. Who was it sent to?
- 15 A. Salvador Diaz.
- MR. NESSIM: Ms. Dunbar, please turn to the second
- 17 page and let's zoom in to the primary address section.
- 18 | Q. What's listed as the address here?
- 19 A. 52 Arden Street, Apartment 5A; New York, New York 10040.
- 20 MR. NESSIM: Ms. Dunbar, please turn to page five.
- 21 | Q. Ms. Ernst, is this form signed?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 | Q. Can you please read the signature?
- 24 | A. Salvador Diaz.
- 25 MR. NESSIM: Ms. Dunbar, please publish Government

- 1 | Exhibit 10.
- $2 \parallel 0$. What is this?
- 3 A. Sex-offender registry annual address verification form.
- $4 \parallel Q$. Who is the form sent to?
- 5 A. Salvador Diaz.
- 6 Q. For which year?
- 7 A. 2013.
- 8 MR. NESSIM: Ms. Dunbar, please turn to the second
- 9 page and let's zoom in to the primary address section.
- 10 | Q. Can you please read the address listed?
- 11 | A. 52 Arden Street, Apartment 5A; New York, New York 10040.
- MR. NESSIM: Ms. Dunbar, please turn to page five.
- 13 Q. Is this form signed?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 | Q. Can you please read the signature?
- 16 A. Salvador Diaz.
- MR. NESSIM: Ms. Dunbar, please publish Government
- 18 | Exhibit 11.
- 19 | Q. Is this an annual address verification form?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 | Q. Who was you it sent to?
- 22 A. Salvador Diaz.
- 23 \parallel Q. For what year?
- 24 | A. 2014.
- 25 MR. NESSIM: Ms. Dunbar, please turn to the second

- 1 page and highlight the primary address section.
- 2 Q. What is listed as the primary address?
- 3 A. 52 Arden Street, Apartment 5A; New York, New York 10040.
- 4 MR. NESSIM: Ms. Dunbar, please turn to page four and
- 5 | highlight the driver's license information.
- 6 | 0. Is the driver's license listed?
- 7 | A. Yes.
- 8 | Q. What state issued these driver's license?
- 9 A. New York.
- 10 MR. NESSIM: Ms. Dunbar, please turn to page five.
- 11 Q. Is this form signed?
- 12 | A. Yes.
- 13 | Q. Can you please read the signature?
- 14 A. Salvador Diaz.
- MR. NESSIM: Ms. Dunbar, please publish Government
- 16 | Exhibit 12.
- 17 | Q. Is this an annual verification form?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Who was the form sent to?
- 20 A. Salvador Diaz.
- 21 | Q. For which year?
- 22 A. 2015.
- 23 | Q. At which address?
- 24 | A. Tea Arden Street; Apartment 5A; New York, New York 10040.
- 25 MR. NESSIM: Ms. Dunbar, please turn to page five.

- Q. Was this form signed?
- 2 | A. No.

- 3 MR. NESSIM: Ms. Dunbar, please turn to page seven.
- 4 | 0. What is this?
- 5 A. This is the returned envelope from the verification form
- 6 | from the post office.
- 7 | Q. Why was the envelope returned?
- 8 A. Any mail the sex-offender registry mails out to any
- 9 offenders is non-forwardable, so the post office returns it to
- 10 | us if the offender is not at that address.
- 11 MR. NESSIM: Ms. Dunbar, please turn to page eight.
- 12 | Q. On what date -- if you can read it. On what date did the
- 13 sex-offender registry receive this form?
- 14 A. April 6th, 2015.
- MR. NESSIM: Ms. Dunbar, please publish Government
- 16 | Exhibit 13.
- 17 | Q. Is this another annual address verification form?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 | Q. Who was it sent to?
- 20 | A. Salvador Diaz.
- 21 | Q. For which year?
- 22 | A. 2016.
- 23 | Q. What address was it mailed to?
- 24 | A. 52 Arden Street, Apartment 5A; New York, New York 10040.
- 25 MR. NESSIM: Ms. Dunbar, please turn to page five.

- 1 Was this form signed?
- 2 No. Α.

- 3 MR. NESSIM: Ms. Dunbar, please turn to page ten.
- 4 What is this?
- 5 This is the returned envelope from the annual address
- 6 verification form.
- 7 MR. NESSIM: Ms. Dunbar, please turn to page eight.
 - On what date did DCJS receive the returned envelope?
- 9 March 31st, 2016. Α.
- 10 MR. NESSIM: I can have one moment your Honor?
- 11 No further questions.
- THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Diaz. 12
- 13 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 14 BY THE DEFENDANT:
- 15 Good afternoon. Q.
- 16 Good afternoon.
- 17 Q. Referring to Government Exhibit three --
- 18 THE DEFENDANT: Does she have a copy?
- 19 THE COURT: We can get it pulled up. You want the
- 20 jury to be able to see it at the same time.
- 21 THE DEFENDANT: Well, I want the witness to see it.
- 22 THE COURT: Yes. But would you also like the jury to
- 2.3 see it?
- 24 THE DEFENDANT: And the witness.
- 25 THE COURT: It will be on her screen.

1 THE DEFENDANT: Very well.

THE COURT: It's on your screen also.

- BY THE DEFENDANT:
- 4 | Q. This page has some typewritten information and some
- 5 | handwritten information?
- 6 A. Correct.
- 7 | Q. Is that your handwriting?
- 8 | A. No.

- 9 Q. Do you know who wrote all those things in there?
- 10 A. No. This was before I joined the registry team.
- 11 | Q. So, how do you know this information is correct?
- 12 JUROR: Because according to procedure, the person who
- 13 did the form would have gotten the information from the board
- 14 of examiners.
- THE DEFENDANT: I beg your pardon, your Honor. I'm
- 16 | looking for a different document.
- 17 | Q. Referring to block number 30, can you read what it says in
- 18 | there?
- 19 A. Military U.S. Army.
- 20 \parallel Q. And was I in the U.S. Army?
- 21 A. I have no idea.
- 22 | Q. I refer you back to Government Exhibit 1. It says
- 23 Department of the Navy.
- 24 THE COURT: Do you have a question?
- 25 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

- Q. How do you account for that?
- 2 A. I personally cannot account for that because I was not at
- 3 | the registry at the time that this was prepared.
- 4 | Q. So, you didn't -- in essence, you're telling us that you're
- 5 | not -- you cannot testify for the veracity of any of these
- 6 document, you can only say that it exists?
- 7 A. Because they were produced during the course of DCJS's
- 8 | business records.

- 9 Q. Yes. I understand that. But you're also saying that as
- 10 | we're demonstrating that there are some inaccuracies in the
- 11 document, and you're not able to account for those?
- 12 | A. Correct.
- 13 | Q. In addition, just to make sure in block number 32, it says
- 14 | "date of arrest," right?
- 15 A. I still have the other one up. I don't know what you're
- 16 | referring to.
- 17 | THE COURT: Can you go back to GX3.
- 18 THE DEFENDANT: Government Exhibit 3.
- 19 | THE WITNESS: Excuse me. What block again?
- 20 THE DEFENDANT: No. 30.
- 21 | THE COURT: I thought you said 32.
- THE DEFENDANT: Yes. My mistake. 32.
- 23 | Q. What does that block tell you?
- 24 | A. 12/12/2000.
- 25 \parallel Q. What does it indicate to you?

- 1 | A. December 12th of 2000.
- 2 | Q. But what is the title of the block?
- $3 \parallel A$. Date of arrest.
- 4 Q. Date of arrest. It says that I was arrested December 12,
- 5 | 2000. If you refer to page two of document number one --
- 6 | sorry. I'm sorry. That's the incorrect one.
- 7 Q. We know also in block 33, it says "date of crime."
- 8 Can you read that?
- 9 A. 12/12/200.
- 10 | Q. You find that inconsistent that the date of crime is the
- 11 | same date as the date of arrest?
- 12 | A. Yes.
- 13 | Q. The date of conviction, block number 40, what does it read
- 14 | there?
- 15 A. October 2nd, 2001.
- 16 | Q. Does that sound consistent to you, that this is reading
- 17 | here "date of arrest, 2000," now it's saying that it was in
- 18 | 2001?
- 19 A. Date of arrest --
- 20 | Q. Date of conviction?
- 21 A. Date of arrest and date of conviction can be far apart
- 22 | because you have a trial and all of that.
- 23 | Q. That is correct. However, looking at page four of
- 24 Government Exhibit 3 -- sorry, page three --
- 25 THE COURT: Government Exhibit 3 is just a one-page

THE DEFENDANT: What was?

THE COURT: Ask another question.

BY THE DEFENDANT:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

Q. The date of conviction is listed there, as you said, on October of 2001.

Is that consistent with the date of the crime and the date the sentence was adjudged?

- A. No, they're not consistent.
- 2 Q. So, these documents, from what I see, are totally
- 3 | unreliable?

- 4 MR. NESSIM: Objection.
- 5 THE COURT: Yeah. Sustained.
- Mr. Diaz, you'll have an opportunity to sum up. Now,
 you just get to ask her questions.
- 7 you just get to ask her questions.
- 8 THE DEFENDANT: Very well. That is all, your Honor.
- 9 THE COURT: Okay.
- 10 Any redirect?
- 11 MR. NESSIM: Briefly, your Honor.
- 12 | REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 13 MR. NESSIM: Ms. Dunbar, can you please publish
- 14 | Government Exhibit 3?
- 15 BY MR. NESSIM:
- 16 | Q. Ms. Ernst, you testified that this is a document that was
- 17 | prepared by the board of examiners; is that right?
- 18 A. Correct.
- 19 | Q. And then it's maintained by the DCJS in its file on
- 20 | Mr. Diaz?
- 21 A. Correct.
- 22 | Q. And what does this form trigger again?
- 23 \parallel A. The registration process to get Mr. Diaz up on the
- 24 sex-offender registry.
- $25 \parallel Q$. And is information like which branch of the army material

- THE DEFENDANT: Objection, your Honor.
- 3 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 4 BY MR. NESSIM:
- 5 | Q. Is that an important fact, what branch of the army Mr. Diaz
- 6 was in?
- 7 | A. No.
- 8 THE COURT: I think you mean which branch of the 9 service.
- 10 MR. NESSIM: Which branch of the service. Excuse me.
- 11 THE WITNESS: Correct. That is not an important.
- 12 BY MR. NESSIM:
- 13 | Q. And you don't work for the armed services?
- 14 A. No.
- 15 | Q. You don't have knowledge about the court marshal
- 16 | proceedings?
- 17 | A. No, I do not.
- 18 THE COURT: Okay. This is your witness, Mr. Nessim.
- 19 Don't lead, please.
- 20 BY MR. NESSIM:
- 21 | Q. Ms. Ernst, when this file is received from the board of
- 22 | examiners, just remind us again, what happens next?
- THE DEFENDANT: Objection, your Honor. That's been
- 24 asked and answered.
- 25 | THE COURT: Hang on. Sustained.

- 1 BY MR. NESSIM:
- 2 Q. Was Mr. Diaz required to register as a sex-offender?
- 3 | A. Yes.
- $4 \parallel Q$. And did he satisfy those requirements?
- 5 A. No. As far as what? I'm sorry. I'm confused.
- 6 | Q. Was he initially registered?
- 7 | A. Yes.
- 8 | Q. And was he responding to your address verification --
- 9 THE DEFENDANT: Objection, your Honor.
- 10 | THE COURT: Sustained. It's beyond the scope.
- 11 | MR. NESSIM: May I have one moment, your Honor?
- 12 THE COURT: Sure.
- MR. NESSIM: Nothing further, your Honor.
- 14 | THE COURT: Okay. Anything further, Mr. Diaz?
- 15 THE DEFENDANT: No, Your Honor.
- 16 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. You may step down.
- 17 Call your next witness.
- 18 MS. TARLOW: Your Honor, the government calls Kelly
- 19 Rourke.
- 20 KELLY ROURKE,
- 21 called as a witness by the Government,
- 22 having been duly sworn, testified as follows:
- 23 | DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 24 BY MS. TARLOW:
- 25 | Q. Good afternoon, Ms. Rourke.

- 1 Where do you work?
- 2 A. I work for the NYPD sex-offender unit.
- 3 | Q. What is your title?
- 4 A. Police officer.
- 5 | Q. How long have you worked for the NYPD?
- 6 A. Just over 21 years.
- 7 | Q. What are some of your duties and responsibilities as an
- 8 | officer assigned to the NYPD sex-offender monitoring unit?
- 9 A. We track and monitor all sex-offenders in New York City,
- 10 | the five boroughs.
- 11 | Q. As part of those responsibilities, do you maintain records
- 12 | associated with sex-offenders?
- 13 | A. Yes, we do.
- 14 \parallel Q. Have you reviewed the records maintained by the NYPD
- 15 | associated with an individual named Salvador Diaz?
- 16 | A. Yes, I have.
- 17 | Q. Officer Rourke, I'm handing you exhibits that have been
- 18 marked as Government Exhibits 5, 27 and 28. Can you please
- 19 | review those documents and look up at me when you're finished.
- 20 Do you recognize these documents?
- 21 | A. I do.
- Q. What are they?
- 23 | A. They are two documents that we have offenders sign when
- 24 | they come into our office. And the third document is the
- 25 | signed registration form.

- 1 | Q. Where were these particular forms maintained?
- 2 A. We maintain them in our office. The two forms and the
- 3 | registration were in his file.
- 4 | Q. In Mr. Salvador Diaz's file?
- 5 | A. Yes.
- 6 | Q. Is the retention of these forms in the regular practice of
- 7 | the NYPD?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Were these forms created at or near the time that the
- 10 | activities reflected therein took place?
- 11 | A. Yes.
- 12 MS. TARLOW: Your Honor, the government officers
- 13 Government Exhibits 5, 27 and 28 into evidence.
- 14 | THE COURT: Any objection?
- 15 THE DEFENDANT: No objection, your Honor.
- 16 THE COURT: All right. 5, 27 and 28 are received.
- 17 | (Government's Exhibits 5, 27 and 28 received in
- 18 | evidence)
- 19 BY MS. TARLOW:
- 20 \parallel Q. Ms. Rourke, can you please read the title of this form?
- 21 A. "This is the New York State Sex-offender Registration Form.
- 22 \parallel Q. And whose name is signed on the bottom left of the form?
- 23 | A. Salvador Diaz.
- 24 \parallel Q. What is the date of this form?
- 25 | A. February 18th, 2010.

- 1 | Q. Turning your attention to the second page of this exhibit.
- 2 MS. TARLOW: Ms. Dunbar, can you please highlight
- 3 point two of this form?
- 4 Q. Officer Rourke, can you please direct your attention to the
- 5 | highlighted portion and read aloud what is written?
- 6 A. Yes. If you move to another state, you must register as a
- 7 sex-offender within ten days of establishing residence.
- 8 | Q. Turning your attention back to the first page of this form
- 9 | -- turning your attention to Exhibit 27.
- 10 What is the title of this form?
- 11 A. New York State Sex-offender Rules and Regulations.
- 12 | Q. Whose name is printed on the bottom of the form?
- 13 A. Salvador Diaz.
- 14 Q. Whose name is signed on the bottom left of the form?
- 15 A. Salvador Diaz.
- 16 | Q. And what is the date?
- 17 | A. December 2nd, 2009.
- 18 MS. TARLOW: Ms. Dunbar, please publish Government
- 19 | Exhibit 28.
- 20 \parallel Q. What is the title of this form?
- 21 A. Top ten ways registered sex-offenders fail to register.
- Q. What is the date that this document was signed?
- 23 | A. December 2nd, 2010.
- MS. TARLOW: Ms. Dunbar, please highlight point one of
- 25 \parallel this form.

- Q. Officer Rourke, directing your attention to this portion of the form, can you please read aloud what is written?
- 3 | A. Yes.

- "Actually live at your registry address. Effective

 August 17th, 2007, the crime of failure to register is a felony

 charge."
- 7 MS. TARLOW: Ms. Dunbar, please highlight point five 8 of this form.
- 9 Q. Officer Rourke, can you please read aloud the first sentence of point five?
- 11 A. "If you move to a new address, you have no later than ten days to officially file an address change."
- MS. TARLOW: Ms. Dunbar, please highlight point ten of this form.
- Q. Officer Rourke, directing your attention to this portion of the form, can you please read aloud what is written?
 - A. Again, "Actually live at your registry address."
- 18 Q. And can you please read the name signed on the bottom of this form?
- 20 JUROR: Salvador Diaz.
- 21 THE DEFENDANT: Objection, your Honor.
- 22 THE COURT: You're objecting to whose name is signed 23 at the bottom of the form?
- THE DEFENDANT: No. I'm objecting to the introduction to this evidence here.

THE COURT: You already said you didn't have an objection. Sit down. It's too late. We already have an objection.

MS. TARLOW: May I have one moment, your Honor?

THE COURT: You may.

MS. TARLOW: Nothing further, your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Diaz, do you have any

cross-examination questions?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.

- 11 | CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 12 BY THE DEFENDANT:
- 13 Q. Good day.
- 14 A. Hello.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- 15 | Q. Exhibit 5, can you verify the accuracy of this document?
- 16 | A. I don't understand the question.
- 17 | Q. Well, can you swear to the best of your knowledge that this
- 18 | document is accurate?
- 19 | A. Yes.
- 20 | Q. Based on what?
- 21 | A. Based on it's maintained in a folder that we're under a
- 22 | business duty to report accurately.
- 23 \parallel Q. Do you have an idea who maintains the folder?
- 24 | A. Yes.
- 25 \parallel Q. Who would that be?

- A. The NYPD Sex-offender Register Unit.
- 2 | Q. Do you know who filled this form out?
- 3 | A. I don't.

- 4 | Q. Then how do you know exactly? Just because -- you're
- 5 relying that the state -- everything that the state does is
- 6 | accurate? Is that the reason?
- 7 A. Well, when we get the form, it's already filled out. When
- 8 | the sex-offender unit gets the form, it's filled out already
- 9 | with the exception of the signature.
- 10 | Q. So, you have no idea exactly --
- THE COURT: Wait a minute, Mr. Diaz. You've got to
- 12 | let her finish her answer before you ask the next question.
- So, you said you received it. It's already filled out
- 14 \parallel with everything except the signature.
- 15 THE WITNESS: Oftentimes, yes.
- So, sometimes it's already signed and it's
- 17 | cross-referenced to other court documents.
- 18 BY THE DEFENDANT:
- 19 | Q. But my question again was, you don't have any idea whether
- 20 | it's accurate or not. You're just assuming that it's accurate
- 21 | because it's in state records?
- 22 MS. TARLOW: Objection, your Honor.
- 23 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 24 | THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Could you repeat that
- 25 | question again?

- 1 BY THE DEFENDANT:
- 2 | Q. You don't have any idea whether this is an accurate
- 3 document or not, you're simply relying on the fact that it is a
- 4 | state document, you're accepting it as proof that it is
- 5 | accurate?
- 6 THE COURT: By "accurate," you mean the information
- 7 | that's entered on the form?
- 8 THE DEFENDANT: Correct.
- 9 THE WITNESS: Yes, I believe that it's accurate,
- 10 | because we get it from a government agency that's also under a
- 11 | responsibility to report these accurately.
- 12 | Q. In reference to document 27. You stated that as a New York
- 13 | city police officer, document No. 27 -- what is the title of
- 14 | the document?
- 15 A. New York State Sex-offender Rules and Regulations.
- 16 | Q. So, are you aware that this is not a New York state court?
- 17 A. Say that again?
- 18 | Q. Are you aware that this is not a state court that we're in?
- 19 THE COURT: Are you aware that we're not in state
- 20 court?
- 21 THE WITNESS: I am aware.
- 22 BY THE DEFENDANT:
- 23 | Q. Are you aware that no one has referred charges to my person
- 24 | in New York state?
- 25 | A. I'm not aware of that. But I know that this is a federal

1 court, yes.

- 2 | Q. Correct. So, why then present the evidence of something
- 3 | that is totally irrelevant to what is at stake here?
- 4 MS. TARLOW: Objection, your Honor.
- 5 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 6 Q. The same thing, Government Exhibit 28. This is also a New
- 7 York State Police Department sex-offender monitoring unit form.
- 8 | Is that correct?
- 9 A. This is a New York City Police Department form.
- 10 Q. Right. So, Government Exhibit 28, and the previous one
- 11 | that we just discussed are not federal forms.
- 12 Why are you referring to them in a federal program?
- MS. TARLOW: Objection, your Honor.
- 14 | THE COURT: Sustained.
- 15 BY THE DEFENDANT:
- 16 | Q. But you do recognize that this is not federal forms; is
- 17 | that correct?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 | Q. Going back -- and I apologize for that I'm going back and
- 20 | forth -- to document five. Government Exhibit 5. You've read
- 21 | what's highlighted. And I can't understand what it says.
- 22 THE COURT: Mr. Diaz, you can't testify. You can only
- 23 | ask questions.
- 24 Can you blow up number two, please?
- 25 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, please.

- BY THE DEFENDANT:
- 2 | Q. Can you read number seven, please?
- 3 | THE COURT: Number seven?
- 4 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
- 5 THE COURT: She'll blow it up for you in just a
- 6 second.

- 7 Can you read that?
- 8 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 9 THE COURT: You want her to read it out loud?
- 10 THE DEFENDANT: No. If she can read it.
- 11 | Can you read it?
- 12 THE COURT: Out loud?
- 13 THE DEFENDANT: No, if she can read it or not.
- 14 | THE COURT: Oh, is it legible enough?
- 15 THE DEFENDANT: Exactly.
- 16 THE COURT: Okay. Can you read it?
- 17 | THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 18 BY THE DEFENDANT:
- 19 | Q. But would you be able to read it if it was shown to you in
- 20 | the original size?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. We just had to blow it up.
- 23 A. Well, I can read it off of this, which is the original
- 24 size.
- 25 \parallel Q. Oh. That one is more accurate than --

- THE COURT: It's the same thing. It's just a paper
- 2 copy, Mr. Diaz. She has the paper copy in front of her.
- 3 BY THE DEFENDANT:
- 4 | Q. Now, going back to the front of the document, Government
- 5 | Exhibit 5, you weren't there at the time that this document was
- 6 | signed, were you?
- 7 | A. No.
- 8 Q. Okay. Very well.
- 9 THE DEFENDANT: That's all, your Honor.
- 10 THE COURT: Ms. Tarlow.
- 11 | THE DEFENDANT: I'm sorry. One more question.
- 12 THE COURT: Okay.
- 13 BY THE DEFENDANT:
- 14 | Q. Number 30, you testified before to the best of your
- 15 | knowledge that this was an accurate document; is that correct?
- 16 A. What page are you on?
- 17 | Q. Number five, the front of the page.
- 18 | A. Okay.
- 19 Q. And if you look at block number 30 --
- 20 | A. Okay.
- 21 | Q. -- what does it say there? Can you read that?
- 22 | A. It says U.S. Army, arresting agency.
- 23 | Q. Do you know that I was in the army?
- 24 | A. No.
- 25 | Q. Do you know what service I was in?

A. The army.

- THE DEFENDANT: Very well. Thank you.
- 3 | THE COURT: Any redirect?
- 4 MS. TARLOW: No, Your Honor.
- 5 THE COURT: Call your next witness.
- 6 MS. TARLOW: The government calls Pablo Rios.
- 7 | PABLO RIOS,
- 8 called as a witness by the Government,
- 9 having been duly sworn, testified as follows:
- 10 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 11 BY MS. TARLOW:
- 12 | Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Rios.
- 13 A. Good afternoon.
- 14 | Q. Where do you work?
- 15 A. I work for Lemle & Wolff.
- 16 | Q. What is Lemle & Wolff?
- 17 A. Owner and manager and developer of affordable housing.
- 18 | Q. What is your title at Lemle & Wolff?
- 19 A. My title is property manager.
- 20 | Q. How long have you been in that role as property manager?
- 21 A. With Lemle & Wolff, I've been one year -- a year and a
- 22 | half.
- 23 \parallel Q. Are you familiar with the building that is located at 52
- 24 | Arden Street in Manhattan?
- 25 | A. Yes, I am.

- 1 | Q. Is that a property that's managed by Lemle & Wolff?
- 2 | A. Yes.
- 3 Q. Does Lemle & Wolff maintain records associated with their
- 4 properties?
- $5 \parallel A$. Yes, we do.
- 6 Q. What, if any, types of records does Lemle & Wolff maintain?
- 7 A. We maintain applications, leases, lease renewals, anything
- 8 pertinent to the tenant relationship.
- 9 Q. Have you reviewed records maintained by Lemle & Wolff
- 10 associated with an individual named Salvador Diaz?
- 11 | A. Yes, I have.
- 12 | Q. Mr. Rios, I'm handing you documents that have been marked
- 13 | for identification as Government Exhibits 14 through 17 and
- 14 Government Exhibit 19.
- 15 | A. Okay.
- 16 | Q. Please review those documents and look at me when you're
- 17 | finished.
- 18 Do you recognize these documents?
- 19 | A. Yes, I do.
- 20 | Q. Generally what are they?
- 21 A. Most of the documents, all but one, are lease renewal
- 22 | forms. So, when a tenant signs the vacancy lease, which is the
- 23 | first initial lease that has all of the language, then these
- 24 | are subsequent leases to -- after that first release. This
- 25 | shows what times of the tenancy and when it starts and when it

- 1 ends.
- 2 Q. And you said that they were all but one lease renewal form.
- 3 What is the final form?
- 4 | A. The final part is what we call --
- 5 Q. Are these forms that were maintained in your office filed
- 6 | for a particular apartment?
- 7 | A. Yes.
- 8 | Q. Were these forms made in the regular course of business at
- 9 Lemle & Wolff?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 | Q. Were they created at or near the time that activities
- 12 | therein took place?
- 13 A. Yes.
- MS. TARLOW: Your Honor, the government offers
- 15 Government Exhibit 14 through 17 and Government Exhibit 19 into
- 16 | evidence.
- 17 | THE COURT: Any objection?
- 18 | THE DEFENDANT: No.
- 19 | THE COURT: Okay. 14, 15, 16, 17 and 19 are received.
- 20 | (Government's Exhibits 14, 15, 16, 17, 19 received in
- 21 | evidence)
- 22 MS. TARLOW: Ms. Dunbar, please publish Government
- 23 | Exhibit 14.
- 24 \parallel Q. What type of form is this?
- $25 \parallel A$. A renewal release form.

- 1 | Q. What apartment is listed on this form?
- 2 A. It's apartment 5A, as in apple.
- $3 \parallel Q$. What is the address of that apartment?
- 4 A. 52 Arden Street.
- 5 \parallel Q. Who are listed as the tenants of apartment 5A?
- 6 A. Gladys Rodriguez and Salvador Diaz.
- 7 Q. When does the lease renewal period begin on this form?
- 8 A. On this form the lease periods begins September 1st, 2008.
- 9 Q. And when does the lease period end?
- 10 | A. It expires August 31st, 2010.
- 11 | Q. Was this form signed?
- 12 | A. It was signed.
- 13 \parallel Q. Please read the name that is signed on the bottom right of
- 14 \parallel the form next to the tenant signature.
- 15 A. It was signed by Gladys Rodriguez and Salvador Diaz.
- 16 MS. TARLOW: Please publish Government Exhibit 15.
- 17 | Q. What type of form is this?
- 18 A. This is also a renewal lease form.
- 19 | Q. And what apartment is listed on this form?
- 20 \parallel A. 5A, as in apple.
- 21 | Q. And, again, is that 52 Arden Street?
- 22 A. Correct.
- 23 \parallel Q. Who are listed as the tenants of Apartment 5A on this
- 24 | renewal form?
- 25 | A. Gladys Rodriguez and Salvador Diaz.

- Q. When does the lease renewal period begin?
- 2 | A. September 2010.

- 3 | Q. When does the lease renewal period end?
- 4 | A. Expires August 31st, 2012.
- 5 | Q. Was this lease renewal form signed?
- 6 A. Yes, it was.
- 7 | Q. Please read the name signed on the bottom right of the form
- 8 | next to the letters TNT?
- 9 A. Salvador Diaz and Gladys Rodriguez.
- 10 MS. TARLOW: Ms. Dunbar, please publish Government
- 11 | Exhibit 16.
- 12 | Q. What type of form is this?
- 13 A. Renewal release form.
- 14 | Q. What apartment?
- 15 $\mid A$. 5A, as in apple.
- 16 | Q. And is that also at 52 Arden Street?
- 17 A. That is correct.
- 18 | Q. Who are listed as the tenants of Apartment 5A on this
- 19 | renewal form?
- $20 \parallel A$. The tenants are Gladys Rodriguez and Salvador Diaz.
- 21 | Q. When does the lease renewal period begin?
- 22 A. It begins September 1st, 2012.
- 23 \parallel Q. When does the lease period end?
- 24 | A. It ends August 31, 2014.
- 25 | Q. Was this lease renewal form signed?

- A. Yes, it was.
- 2 Q. Please read the name that is signed on the bottom right of
- 3 | the form?

- 4 | A. Gladys Rodriguez and Salvador Diaz.
- 5 Q. And whose signatures are those, according to the form?
- 6 A. The tenants are indicated at the top.
- 7 MS. TARLOW: Ms. Dunbar.
- 8 Q. Please turn your attention to Government Exhibit 17.
- 9 What type of form is this, Mr. Rios?
- 10 A. This is also renewal lease form.
- 11 | Q. And what apartment is listed on this form?
- 12 \parallel A. The apartment is 5A, as in apple.
- 13 | Q. And is that also at 52 Arden Street?
- 14 A. That's correct.
- 15 | Q. Who are listed as the tenants of apartment 5A on this
- 16 | renewal form?
- 17 A. Gladys Rodriguez and Salvador Diaz.
- 18 | Q. When does the lease renewal period begin on this form?
- 19 A. It begins September 1st, 2014.
- 20 Q. And when does the lease period end?
- 21 A. According to what is selected, one year lease renewal, so
- 22 | it expires August 31st, 2015.
- 23 | Q. Was this renewal lease form signed?
- 24 \parallel A. Yes, it was.
- $25 \parallel Q$. By whom?

- 1 A. Only by Gladys Rodriguez.
- 2 | Q. Was Mr. Diaz listed as a tenant on any subsequent lease
- 3 | renewal forms for this apartment?
- 4 A. After this, no.
- 5 MS. TARLOW: Ms. Dunbar, please publish Government
- $6 \parallel \text{Exhibit } 19.$
- 7 | Q. Mr. Rios, what is this document?
- 8 A. This is a form that we use called apartment vacancy report.
- 9 Q. And what apartment is listed as having been vacated?
- 10 \parallel A. 5A, as in apple.
- 11 | Q. And what is the address of that apartment?
- 12 A. 52 Arden Street.
- 13 | Q. And what date is that apartment listed as having been
- 14 | vacated?
- 15 A. It's listed as having been vacated December 31st, 2014.
- 16 | Q. Who is listed as having vacated the apartment?
- 17 A. Gladys Rodriguez.
- 18 | Q. Is Mr. Diaz' name listed?
- 19 A. Not on this form.
- 20 \parallel Q. Does Lemle & Wolff always list all tenants on these
- 21 | reports?
- $22 \parallel A$. We try to in the ordinary course.
- 23 | Q. Are there some circumstances where all tenants who are
- 24 | living in the apartment may not be listed?
- 25 \parallel A. If they left prior to, the tenant, they might not be

- 1 | listed. And in our property management system, if they're the
- 2 | tenant of record, or if they're the first name that's there,
- 3 sometimes we might miss that.
- 4 Q. What, if anything, does Lemle & Wolff do to confirm that an
- 5 | apartment has been vacated?
- 6 A. We do inspection and generate a report.
- 7 | Q. And when would a vacancy report be generated?
- 8 A. As soon as we conduct an inspection.
- 9 Q. Is the vacancy report only when all tenants in the
- 10 | apartment have vacated that apartment?
- 11 A. Yes. That means we have possession of the apartment, and
- 12 | all tenants have vacated.
- MS. TARLOW: One moment, your Honor.
- 14 | THE COURT: Okay.
- MS. TARLOW: Nothing further.
- 16 THE COURT: Mr. Diaz, do you have any cross?
- 17 | THE DEFENDANT: No. No cross of him.
- 18 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. You can step down.
- 19 It's almost 5 o'clock, so we're going to stop for the
- 20 day. You get five minutes off. We use that tomorrow and keep
- 21 you five minutes late.
- So, don't discuss the case. Have a wonderful evening.
- 23 | Please remember to be here between 9:00 and 9:15 so we can
- 24 | start promptly tomorrow. Don't discuss the case and have a
- 25 | wonderful evening.

25 THE DEFENDANT: For me, it was.

1 | THE COURT: I don't know why.

2.3

As I told you, we're going to do a charge conference this evening. You got charged last week. I'm going to give you like a ten-minute break to get your ducks in a row. We'll do the charge conference sitting at the defense table at the back. So if the government can just turn around and the defense can stay where they are, and we can take down that screen, that will be helpful.

Does the government want to be heard on Government Exhibit 35? First, I want to start with Mr. Diaz.

Mr. Diaz, what's your objection to 35?

THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor, this is -- on the Rule 403, I think it's prejudicial.

THE COURT: Okay. That's all you need to say.

All right. Government, what's your response?

MR. NESSIM: Your Honor, we believe the probative value far outweighs it's prejudicial effect.

THE COURT: Tell me why.

MR. NESSIM: The witness who will bring this exhibit in is the manager of the New Jersey apartment building where we allege that the defendant lived with his mother. She will testify that he was banned from the building. They actually took legal action against his mother initially to evict her

because they believed he was living there. And they said after they were sure that he was living there and took that action, it went to mediation in which they agreed not to evict her in return for him not living there, that he was banned from the building --

THE COURT: Why is it relevant that he was banned from the building? I understand the relevance of the building found out that he was living there, told the mother, you got to get him out of here for whatever reason. I presume that was not because he was a sex-offender, but just because he wasn't on the lease.

MR. NESSIM: That's right, at least initially. And I think the testimony was that he wasn't on the lease, and that was the issue.

It's relevant for several reasons. First, it corroborates the witnesses' statements regarding seeing the defendant at the building, their belief that he was living there. She's also going to testify that she saw him on surveillance videos.

THE COURT: I understand why the surveillance video may be admissible. It's the piece of this which I understand Mr. Diaz to be objecting to -- although I'm not a hundred percent sure -- is the handwritten Salvador Diaz banned from building, son of apartment 307, boyfriend of apartment 822. The bottom piece, I'm not --

MR. DIAZ: No, the whole thing, the entire page.

THE COURT: You object to the entire page?

MR. DIAZ: The writing at the top and the bottom.

THE COURT: OK. Tell me what your objection, if hypothetically, the handwriting at the top were redacted or removed so that the only thing that would be in the exhibit is the picture from the surveillance camera and then the handwriting at the bottom that says, "Son of apartment 307, boyfriend of Apartment 822," what is your objection?

MR. DIAZ: Yeah, as long as the -- the handwriting on the top of the page comes out, I have no objection.

THE COURT: That's your objection. So address that.

MR. NESSIM: So we agree that the document is relevant and it's relevant --

THE COURT: I don't disagree that the document is relevant. Focus your argument on why from a 403 perspective, the information at the very top is -- that it's probative value outweighs its prejudicial import.

MR. NESSIM: So the probative value of the text is that it shows how the defendant even after he was banned continued returning to the building and continued to returning to the building with luggage and other things and indicated he was still living there. It helps to prove the fact he was living there previously and continued to live there after the fact.

THE COURT: I understand that that piece is relevant.

But why isn't relevant that the building said, you cannot come back in this building?

MR. NESSIM: As I said, to go to the understanding of the building, that he was living there, but it's also — the witness will testify that it's in the regular course of business for the building when they have someone who they've determined can no longer return to the building to create forms like these, they've distributed with the security guards they've posted in areas where the staff has access to. So in a prejudicial probative 403 balancing, I guess our argument is the relevance is the fact that it's posted, the relevance is the fact that he is banned.

THE COURT: But why is that relevant? It's relevant the fact that he was living there. The fact that the apartment building wanted him out, why is that relevant to your charge?

I entirely agree that the fact that he was living there. So the fact that they have their knickers twisted about it, all that's relevant. Why is the fact that they banned him relevant?

MR. NESSIM: It just completes the story of that understanding. It's just further proof of their belief, they just took it to the next step by banning him.

THE COURT: I think in the context of this case, the fact -- the language that says he was banned from the building

1 i i r r 3 ½ 4 c c c 6 l 1 7 f f

is the prejudicial import outweighs its probative value. I'm not going to let you put that in, but, again, you can work with your witness. The rest of this that they investigated and they confirmed that he was living in the apartment and they found out that his mom was living in 307, that his girlfriend was living in 822, all of that is relevant. It's just the ultimate fact that they then banned him from the building that I'm not seeing the probative value.

MR. NESSIM: Your Honor, just as a factual matter the witness will testify that he was told he could not live there because he wasn't on the lease leave. They told them he could not return. He continued to return and they posted these in the building. Even after this point he continued to return. As a factual matter, that is the facts of how this sort of developed. The banning from the building or telling him he couldn't return is sort of an integral part of that story.

THE COURT: Why is it more integral than just the dates? I get that he was told he couldn't live there because he was not on the lease, maybe this is on some level how you phrase it. To me testimony that says they told him you cannot live in this building because you're not on the lease is different from a flyer that says Salvador Diaz is banned from the building. So maybe this is a nuance.

MR. NESSIM: I think the difference is just it sort of started as saying you cannot live here, you're not on the

lease, but in the face of sort of continued violations of that statement, that policy developed to the point where flyers — flyers were made for employees to make that fact clear. So we do think that it has an independent probative value for that fact of just the next step there.

THE COURT: But, again, why can't you scratch that itch by testimony that his picture was given to the security guards and they were informed that Mr. Diaz did not live in the building and was not supposed to be living in the building.

MR. NESSIM: We would prefer to admit the exhibit in full. I think that would go most of the way, but just to be clear, is your ruling -- we can redact the Salvador Diaz banned from the building, but is the witness supposed to avoid using terms like we banned him from entering the building?

THE COURT: Yes. Prep the witness so that the discussion of banning him as opposed to the discussion that the security guard — he was informed, he's not supposed to be in the building because he's not on the lease. I take it he can still visit. Did there come a point in time when he was told he could not even visit the building?

MR. NESSIM: Yes.

THE COURT: I'm still not sure that that makes it more probative. There's a way to get all this testimony in to show he was living in the building without -- I mean, the testimony that he was banned from the building obviously didn't take

because he was still living in the building. So other than
showing that he was stubborn and didn't do what he was told to
do, I'm not sure how that advances your burden of proof on an
element.

MR. NESSIM: Understood, your Honor. We would just ask for some indulgence with leading this witness through this area.

THE COURT: Yes.

Mr. Diaz, do you understand what the government is saying? Because the witness would naturally, it's a woman, right if she's just naturally telling her story, she's going to get to the point to say you were banned from the building they distributed flyers with your name on it saying you're banned from the building because I don't want that testimony, that's what you've objected to, they're going to lead the witness through that, so I don't want to hear an objection on the grounds that the government is leading, because they're permitted to lead to get through that piece of this witness witness's testimony?

MR. DIAZ: I don't understand. They're not allowed to lead the witness.

THE COURT: They're not normally allowed to lead. In this case, this is a ruling that is beneficial to you because otherwise the witness is likely to blurt out that you were banned from the building.

MR. DIAZ: Yeah, but why can they just tell the witness not to say that. They already had other witnesses.

They told them not to say certain things that are not part of the witness.

THE COURT: Mr. Diaz, here's the thing. I'm going to allow them to lead, if you object to them leading and they quit leading and she says that you were banned from the building then you get what you got. You objected to the remedy and you may end up adducing testimony that is negative for you. So I'm just telling you I will allow them to lead. If you object, then they're not going to lead and you run the risk of getting out exactly the testimony that you're trying to avoid.

MS. KELLMAN: May we have just one minute, Judge.

THE COURT: Sure.

MR. DIAZ: In that event, I ask that you would instruct them to tell the witness not to blurt this out.

THE COURT: Mr. Diaz, they know what my ruling is.

The reason that they requested to lead her through it is because based on all of their prep and the fact that you were, in fact, banned from the building, there's a high degree of likelihood that if they don't lead the witness, that's going to come out. So you've got my ruling, I'm not going to keep arguing it. OK.

MR. DIAZ: Very well.

THE COURT: Anything else that we can dispense of

THE COURT: And why are you putting the pictures of

from the interior and the exterior.

24

you're going to object, when they offer the document and I say,

figure out exactly what they're going to put into evidence.

24

1 added about consideration of punishment, we have preferred 2 language that we might have submitted that says, "I also 3 caution you that under your oath as jurors, you cannot allow to 4 enter into your deliberations any consideration of the 5 punishment that may be imposed upon a defendant if he is 6 The duty of imposing sentence in the event of convicted. 7 conviction rests exclusively with the Court and the issue of 8 punishment may not affect your deliberations as to whether the 9 government has proven a defendant's guilt beyond a reasonable 10 doubt." 11 THE COURT: Mr. Diaz. 12 MR. DIAZ: No objection. 13 THE COURT: Well, I object. It's about a thousand 14 words to say don't consider punishment. I'll add a sentence 15 that will be very short that will basically say don't consider 16

punishment.

Next.

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

So on page 6, the bracketed material on line 23. far no documents have been shown to anyone to refresh their recollection. I'm sort of skeptical that that's going to happen. Do you anticipate that you're going to have witnesses who are going to need to be refreshed?

MR. NESSIM: We don't anticipate it.

THE COURT: Mr. Diaz, I'm likely to drop that, unless it becomes a live issue where someone puts a document in front

no objection to it, and otherwise put it on the record that

you've changed the way that you're redacting them.

24

25

Your objection is overruled.

1 MR. NESSIM: Line 2, we would ask, and this sort of ties into an objection we have to the third element, the charge 2 3 for the third element, that we omit -- we change keep sex 4 offender updated and current to update his sex offender 5 registration to conform with the language of 2250. THE COURT: Can I see 2250. I thought it requires 6 7 both, updated and current. Hold on a second. 8 Fails to register or update. 9 MR. NESSIM: I think it's 34 U.S.C. 2913, I think. 10 THE COURT: Picks up the current requirement. 11 MR. NESSIM: So we have some proposals of tweaks to the third element that address our concern here. 12 13 THE COURT: Any objection? 14 MR. DIAZ: The same as before on line 4 and 5 about 15 the requirement to register to travel in interstate commerce. 16 THE COURT: Same objection? 17 MR. DIAZ: Anything in this document that deals with 18 that, I would object. 19 THE COURT: You got it. So you want to change on line 20 2 to just change it to "and keep his sex registration updated"? 21 MR. NESSIM: Or "and update his registration," that's 22 not a strong preference. 2.3 THE COURT: Say that again.

MR. NESSIM: We don't have a strong preference between

The statute is -- let me just put it -- to register

24

25

the two.

THE COURT: No one out of three.

2.3

MR. NESSIM: Our next objection is to element three starting on line 8. We proposed some small modifications which might be easier to see in this tracked changes document, and it reflects the update and keep current language and it also seeks the additional of the three-daytime to register and the failure to register as well as the failure to update.

THE COURT: But your change at the top doesn't make any sense because there are two separate requirements, there's a registration requirement and there's a keep current. So to me when you start talking about change in the failure to register, it starts to become very confusing. I sort of went around and around on this in the drafting of how to make it clean.

So he had a duty to register in New Jersey. If the jury finds that he moved to New Jersey, he had a duty to register, period, end. He never registered, that would satisfy this obligation. He separately had a duty to stay current, which triggers, there's a three-day obligation there, but it seems to me that putting that three-day obligation into the failure to register is just to confuse things.

MR. NESSIM: So I think that it is confusing and the criminal enforcement provision doesn't totally square with the sort of registry requirements provision in title 34.

So our understanding of what 2250 requires is it

criminalizes the failure to register or update a registration as required by SORNA.

THE COURT: I agree.

2.3

MR. NESSIM: And we believe based on reading the statutes and the provisions how they play together and the attorney general guidelines under section 10, which is failure to keep current and sort of explication of what that means and changes of residency requirements that failure to register and update within the meaning of 2250, has a distinct meaning from the general registration requirements and keeping the registration current as set forth in 2913. I agree it's odd.

And in our reading the failure -- SORNA is implemented by each jurisdiction setting up these sex offender registries.

THE COURT: Correct.

MR. NESSIM: Failure to register means you have an obligation to register in a state which you have not had a existing registration. So you move to New Jersey, you need to register there. If he moved within New York State, you have a duty to update your New York State registration. Both of our updates in our reading of 2913 fall within keeping the registration current, and it's odd because current and updating are synonyms generally.

But what subsection (c) asks for in 2913 is within three business days of a change, you go to at least one jurisdiction which you're required to register and inform that

jurisdiction of all changes required. It doesn't specify
whether that's considered a new registration or an updated
registration. The attorney general guidelines does seem to
draw the distinction between under keeping the registration
current to being a new registration in a state where you move
to anew and an updated registration in a state which your
registration exists but must be updated.

So our reading of it is the 2250 refers to whether it's a new or existing registration that needs to be changed, but both can fall within keeping the registration current, meaning, I guess the failure to register can also fall within the initial registration requirement. It's not totally clear, but we don't believe that the update in 2250 means exactly current in 2913, if that makes sense.

THE COURT: You don't believe that the "update" is the same as "keep current"?

MR. NESSIM: Right. I think the one way that you can satisfy your obligation to keep current is by filing a new registration in the jurisdiction in which you did not previously have reporting obligation.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. NESSIM: So the update in 2250 refers to a variety of keeping current in which your obligation is just to update your registration with the state.

THE COURT: Right, but whether he would have satisfied

his obligation if he had registered in New Jersey, he might
have satisfied his obligation if he's an informed New York that
he had moved to New Jersey, because this seems to suggest that
that so long as he appears in one jurisdiction involved, he
satisfies his obligation.

MR. NESSIM: Well, I think that's modified by sub (a), which is the in general, and based on *Nichols* seems to say that you have a registration obligation in only the jurisdictions which you reside, are employed or are a student. So if New York was not one of those jurisdictions, then he wouldn't have a duty to update his registration in New York. If, for example, he moved to New Jersey but continued working in New York, he would have an obligation in both places and his update in New York may have satisfied (c).

THE COURT: But if we're going to charge this then we have to charge all of that. That was part of what I found to be is the difficulty of it is, if he was working in New York, as I've now seen the evidence and there's no declared employment, but the jury could find, perhaps that he was working and it wasn't on the form. So I'm not — I guess what I'm saying is I'm not entirely sure that this makes it any clearer or is really going to be particularly helpful to the jury. Your latter changes, I think sort of to reside refers to a place that a person calls his home, even if the person has no home or fixed address. Is there any objection to that? Do you

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that way. So under federal law, "A sex offender must register in each state which he resides, is employed, and is a student within three business days of the change," what does that refer to? What you really mean is any change in those things, but that's exactly what the next paragraph discusses and discusses it, I think more clearly.

MR. NESSIM: I think the concern is there's no timing requirement for a failure to register there, which I think whether it's a new registration or updated recommending restoration, that keeping the registration current three-day language would apply to both. So maybe it's to move up the clearer language and keeping in a registration updated -- the keeping the registration current requirements would map on to both a new or updated registration assuming you need to make one or the other.

THE COURT: Agreed.

MR. NESSIM: So I guess our concern is -- and maybe it's not phrased properly and maybe it needs some of the supporting language that's now in the section that follows -- but that the jury shouldn't be under the false impression that if you're making a new registration you have an unlimited amount to time to do that.

THE COURT: Understood. That may require a second sentence to get to that.

And the obligation to register is within three days, right, under the currency obligation, under 2913, the obligation to register in the state where you live, work, or go to school is before completing a sentence of imprisonment and

1 THE COURT: Your failure to register, you're not 2 proving a failure to register. This case is not about a 3 failure register. It's bout a failure to update. You've just 4 proved he registered. He did his initial registration. I 5 mean, if anything, the failure to register should be this: 6 was required to initially register before pleading his sentence 7 -- which the government has just proved to a fairly well that 8 he did. 9 THE DEFENDANT: And kept it up later while I lived in 10 New York. THE COURT: You don't get brownie points for that. 11

THE COURT: You don't get brownie points for that.

Because then you quit --

THE DEFENDANT: But I wasn't living there anymore.

THE COURT: Go ahead.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. NESSIM: So, 2250 requires failure to register, failure to update registration, which unfortunately doesn't square perfectly with keeping current. But we are charging a failure to register, because it's a failure to register in the new jurisdiction. Failure to register in New Jersey, that's a sub --

THE COURT: But that's really the same thing as the failure to update his registration, right? Because that update is due -- whether it was due -- you're saying he didn't register in New Jersey. He also didn't update his registration by registering in New Jersey.

1 MR. NESSIM: So, I think the question is trying to 2 talk about a national sex-offender registration, or does it 3 require registration in each jurisdiction? So, there's a way 4 of thinking about registering as if each new jurisdiction is a new registration. And while you are keeping your registration 5 6 current within the meaning of 2250 -- and the attorney general 7 quidelines, which I'm trying to pull up, under keeping the 8 registration current, in effect, registering a new -- it's not 9 initial registration; it's registration current. And, it is 10 confusing. Keeping the registration current -- I'm reading 11 this from my phone if that's okay. 12 THE COURT: Uh-huh. 13 MR. NESSIM: The change of residence section, there's

a section, sub (a).

What regulation is this? THE COURT:

MR. NESSIM: So, this is the national --

THE COURT: CFR what?

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

MR. NESSIM: It's the smart guidelines --

The smart quidelines? THE COURT:

MR. NESSIM: Yes. National Guideline for Sex-offender Registration. I have the cite back in my office. But I will inform the Court and parties as soon as I'm back there.

But it discusses some of these terms. And under Roman numeral V, keeping the registration current, there's a section on changes of name, residence, employment or school attendance.

And if you go to that section -- we're happy to put this in a letter if that would be better.

THE COURT: Well, go ahead.

MR. NESSIM: Okay. So, on page 49, keeping the registration current section begins, and it states that under residence jurisdiction or changes of name, residence, employment or school attendance, "Each jurisdiction must require a sex-offender who enters the jurisdiction to reside or who is registered in the jurisdiction as a resident and changes his or her name or place of residence within the jurisdiction to appear in person to register or update the registration within three the business days. Also, each jurisdiction in which a sex-offender is registered must" — and then it lays out other requirements.

So, the attorney general guidelines, which sort of delegates the interpretation process too, seems to draw this distinction between the same, keeping registration current, changing residence, sex-offender moving to a new state is registering. A sex-offender who is in his existing state is updating.

THE COURT: Except you're charging him with failing to update based on the fact that he did not register in New Jersey, right? That's the theory of your case.

MR. NESSIM: I think the theory of our case is we're charging him with failure to register by not updating in New

notes from the jury on this, but I can't say that what we've

got here is not right. I think it is right. It is a

metaphysical difference in this case, at best.

23

24

aware of the law. So, it's not necessary. And he's not

22 You want me to ch

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.3

24

25

You want me to charge the jury on venue or not?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. So, venue will stay in.

THE DEFENDANT: I mean, I think that you should

THE DEFENDANT: It's just vague.

light of evidence." But over here, then --

travel interstate began or continued in the southern district

THE DEFENDANT: Right. Because now it's saying that

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: You've already objected, and I've already overruled your objection.

THE DEFENDANT: All right. Very well.

THE COURT: So, on line 18, I've bracketed off -- the exhibits are going to be loaded onto a laptop. You have so few documents. Is there any reason why we can't give them to them in a binder? Jurors have trouble finding the exhibits on a

THE COURT: Do you want to be heard on that?

MR. NESSIM: Your Honor, when you said we could not bring in his prior offense, we did leave the door open as to whether if he misrepresents the factual circumstances of that conviction, whether we could cross-examine him on that.

THE COURT: Correct.

MR. NESSIM: If he does do that, we might pursue that line of cross. Also if, you know, he talks about his career in the navy, and how decorated it was, then we may also cross-examine him on the fact that he was dishonorably discharged.

THE COURT: He was dishonorably discharged because of this offense, right?

MR. NESSIM: Right. I think we otherwise plan to -- I mean obviously abide by the order, but there are those areas I think we might --

THE COURT: Okay. So, what I'm hearing the government saying is that if he opens the door, they're going to want to go through it. But if his testimony doesn't relate to the underlying facts of the offense are, then it's typically -- I mean, I've excluded it for good reason. I think the particulars of it are more prejudicial than probative on the issue of his propensity for telling the truth, which is why it would come in. But if he opens the door, I'm going to allow them to walk through it.